

\*

(11335)

(790)

(801)

(65)

(1086)

(80)

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

:

(2009 )

\*

2009/11/23

2010/8/2

(2007 )

)

(2004

:

)

(1992

(5 1996)

"

:

-1 ."

-2

-3

-4

-5

(Counterpower)

(Yukl, 1981)

:

:

-

∴

(1992)

(1413)

:

(245)

" : (Authority)  
.(Yukl, 1981, 18)"

: .1

: .2

(Yukl,1981)

/

/

(2003)

.2009/2008

(85)

(479)

)

: (Yukl)

.(

(Wong, 2003)

(27)

(36)

(2005)

(French and Raven) ( )

(Mergendoller and Jone R.,

(12877)

(2003)

(647)

(%5)

(75)

( $0.05 \geq \alpha$ )

/ )

(McGrail, 2007)

(

(2760)

(Wootton - Don, 2000)

(24)

(18)

(5)

:

(1086) 2009 /2008  
(801)

.(11335)

(80)

:

(790)

(65)

( )

(1)

:

(1)

17	3	14	250	125	95	23	11	12		
7	2	5	65	50	15	7	5	2		
5	2	3	65	21	44	5	3	2		
29	7	22	350	196	154	35	19	16		
8	2	6	100	35	65	16	9	7		
4	1	3	110	85	25	7	4	3		
9	1	8	100	50	50	10	5	5		
21	4	17	310	170	140	33	18	15		
6	2	4	52	30	22	5	3	2		
6	2	4	40	20	20	4	3	1		
3	1	2	38	28	10	3	2	1		
15	5	10	130	78	52	12	8	4		
65	16	49	790	444	346	80	45	35		

) (7)

:

:

.(

) (6)

:

.(

(35)

(Yukl,1981)

(935) (922)  
 .(%98)

(5) :  
 (3) (4)  
 (2)  
 (1)  
 ( ) (%70) (%80)

(t- (test-re-test)  
 -1 ) (10) (10)  
 -2 ( )  
 .test)  
 -3  
 (0.77)  
 (t- -4  
 .test) (3)  
 ( )  
 (2)

	1	0.59	3.96		6
	2	.950	.883		5
	3	0.49	3.78		1
	4	.50	3.65		4
	5	.50	3.59		7
	6	0.83	3.32		3
	7	0.75	3.08		2
		.76	3.61		

(3)

	1	.72	3.94		5
	2	0.77	3.62		7
	3	.57	3.43		1
	4	.64	3.40		6
	5	.68	3.31		4
	6	.80	3.22		3
	7	.72	3.04		2
		.68	3.42		

(4)

	1	0.42	14.2		4
	2	0.45	3.92		5
	3	0.54	3.56		3
	4	0.51	3.50		6
	5	0.56	3.43		1
	6	0.93	3.37		2
		.74	3.66		

" (2) :

" :

(3.96) "

(3.08)

(3)

"

"

.(3.94)

.(3.04)

:

:

(4)

.(4.21)

.(3.37)

(5)

	1	0.86	3.80		4
	2	0.80	3.46		5
	3	0.67	3.38		3
	4	0.83	3.27		2
	5	0.64	3.19		1
	6	0.83	3.19	"	6
		.68	3.38		

(6)

*0.000	3.846	0.49	3.78		( )	1
		0.56	3.43			
*0.043	-	0.75	3.08			2
	2.039	0.93	3.37			
*0.043	-	0.83	3.32			3
	2.062	0.54	3.56			
*0.000	-	0.50	3.65			4
	7.131	0.42	4.21			
0.598	-	0.44	3.88			5
	0.529	0.45	3.92			
*0.000	4.943	0.59	3.96			6
		0.51	3.50			

.(0.05 ≥ α)

\*

(7)

	1	0.83	4.21		3
	2	0.86	4.00		24
	3	1.01	3.95		10
	4	1.01	3.92		21
	5	0.89	3.90		27
	6	0.92	793.		30
		0.72	963.		

(5)

(0.05  $\geq \alpha$ )

(3.19-3.80)

(3.80)

(3.19)

":

(0.05  $\geq \alpha$ )

( )

":

: ( ) (6)  
 $\geq \alpha$

(0.05)

(3.96)

(7)

(8)

	1	0.99	3.87		13
	2	1.01	3.86		65
	3	1.11	3.15		32
		0.64	3.62		

(9)

	1	0.89	4.18		1
	2	0.82	3.98		23
	3	1.40	3.80		12
	4	0.98	3.80		4
	5	1.28	2.54		6
	6	1.13	2.39		17
		.57	3.45		

(8)

3.62)

.(3.45)

(10)

(3.55) "

(9)

(10)

	1	0.91	4.00		26
	2	0.94	3.78		28
	3	1.18	3.56		51
	4	1.16	3.46		25
	5	1.14	3.40		22
	6	1.13	3.10		9
		0.64	3.55		

(11)

	1	96.0	4.08		7
	2	1.06	3.53		41
	3	1.16	2.37		18
		0.68	3.33		

(11)

(3.33)

(12)

(3.24)

(13)

(3.05)

(12)

" "

	1	1.02	3.72		5
	2	1.09	3.42		33
	3	1.21	2.91		35
	4	1.25	2.90		40
		0.80	3.24		

(13)

	1	0.99	3.81		29
	2	1.11	3.27		8
	3	1.09	2.92		20
	4	1.33	2.69		11
	5	1.30	2.56		2
		072	53.0		

(3.39)

"

"

(14)

(3.82)

"

"

(17)

(3.13)

(18)

(3.46)

(15)

(3.10)

(16)

(14)

	1	0.98	3.94		64
	2	0.98	3.89		61
	3	1.04	3.85		49
	4	1.01	3.75		52
	5	1.05	3.75		50
	6	1.00	3.74		55
		0.86	23.8		

(15)

	1	0.95	3.98		62
	2	1.02	3.53		58
	3	1.10	3.49		57
	4	1.21	3.45		31
	5	1.31	3.28		60
	6	1.19	3.03		59
		0.80	3.46		

(16)

	1	1.01	99.3		47
	2	1.00	3.72		53
	3	1.19	2.47		37
		0.67	93.3		

(17)

" "

	1	1.07	3.65		14
	2	1.19	3.31		45
	3	1.24	2.87		38
	4	1.12	2.70		43
		0.83	133.		

(18)

	1	1.05	3.75		15
	2	1.02	3.69		48
	3	1.02	3.36		36
	4	1.15	2.99		46
	5	1.20	2.97		16
	6	1.21	2.91		39
	7	1.12	2.70		42
	8	1.12	2.47		19
		0.64	03.1		

( )

(19)

( ) (20)

.(3.21)

:  
( ) (20)

"

"

(0.05  $\geq a$ )

(19)

	1	0.93	3.93		63
	2	51.1	03.0		56
	3	01.3	712.		54
		0.83	213.		

(20)

*0.00	14.13	0.64	3.19		/	1
		0.57	3.43			
*0.00	7.74	0.83	3.27			2
		0.72	3.04			
*0.00	6.33	0.67	3.38			3
		0.80	3.22			
*0.00	17.02	0.86	3.80			4
		0.68	3.31			
*0.00	22.05	0.80	3.46			5
		0.72	3.94			
*0.00	8.79	0.83	3.19			6
		0.64	3.40			

(0.05  $\geq a$ ) \*

( / / ) (0.05  $\geq a$ ) / " (0.05  $\geq a$ )  
 ( / / ) ( / )

(

(2007)

" "

": :

(1992)

.( - )

" :

"

" :

"

"

:  
:



---

1992

2003

1996

McGrail, Ewa, (2007), Challenges to Teacher Control in the English Laptop Classroom, USA, P19. : 2009

Mergendoller, C. and Jone, F. (2003). Teacher authority in school, Linguistics and Education, Vol. 3, No. 4, p281-313. : .2 2007

Wong, Edwin, (2003), Implementation of annual school plan in Hong Kong: problems and coping strategies. Report – research (143), speech/ meeting papers (150), Hong Kong. .66-58 (21) 2004 2005

Wootton- Don, A. (2000). Power Discourse: an Examination of one Classroom's Power Structure, USA. : 1992

Yukl, Gary (1981). Leadership Organization. N-J: Englewood Cliff. Prentice-Hall.

## **Teachers' Authority Resources at Public Secondary Schools in Jordan on their Principals and Supervisors and the Degree of Their Usage**

*May Adel Bakier and Rateb Al Saud\**

### **ABSTRACT**

This study aimed at identifying teachers' authority resources at public secondary schools in Jordan for their principals and supervisors and the degree of their usage. The study population consisted of all secondary schools principals, supervisors and teachers (male and female) whose total number is (1086) principals, (801) supervisors, in addition to (11335) teachers. The sample of the study consisted of (80) principals, (65) supervisors, and (790) teachers (male and female). The researchers used two tools to measure teachers' authority resources at the secondary schools in Jordan on their principals and supervisors and their authority resources usage degree. The study showed the results which are related to the teachers' authority resources on their principals revealed that the area of "awareness of rules and regulations" came in the first rank, while the area "teachers' assessment of principals" in The final rank. The study showed also the results that are related to the teachers' authority resources on their supervisors revealed that the area "special skills display at handling serious problems within schools", come first rank and the area "teachers groups" come The final rank. The results showed that there are significant differences in teachers' authority resources usage degree for the areas "principle's dependence on teachers", and "express consent and sincerity", which showed higher teachers' authority resources usage degree on their principals than supervisors. While the areas " teachers groups", "vital information" and "special skills display at handling serious problems within schools" showed higher teachers' authority resources usage degree on their supervisors rather than principals.

**Keywords:** Authority Resources, Teachers, Principals, Supervisors, Public Secondary Schools.

---

\* Ministry of Education. Received on 23/11/2009 and Accepted for Publication on 2/8/2010.