

*

(90)

:

.2010/7/19

2009/12/14 *

DNA
(2003)

(2004)

(Salsler, 2001)

(Wood, 2002)

)

(1999

(Sikiny, 2003)

(The

National Research Council (NRC)/ (2002)

(Herreid, 2005)

(Dori, 2000)

(Poland, 2003)

(Raj, 2003)

()

.2

()

(Poland, 2003)

:

:

(2004)

()

:

:

:

(Stotter, 2004)

:

Dori, (2000)

()

(STS)

.2006/2005

(2003)

(54)

(3)

(4)

(12)

()

: (Herreid, 1994)

(1999)

%95

%60

()

()

Mcnaught, (2005)

(62)

(0.01= α)

(38)

(0.01= α)

) :
 () () -

(Sikinnyi, .2003; Poland, 2003)

: -

(4) (90) (Stotter, 2004) (1999)

(1) + + -

(Herreid, (Dori, 2000) .1994)

(1)

()	()	()	()	/
11	11	11	12	
11	12	11	11	

(Mcnaught, 2005)

: :

: -

: (Herreid, .1994; Herreid, 2005; Poland, 2003)

(2003) (2004)

- - -6 -

(A)

(Torrance, 1990 a)

(Hu and Adey, 2002)

.(0.76) 25

-

(5)

.(0.90)

:

(20)

.0.87

:

(5)

:

-

:

-

-

(5)

(42)

(30)

(20)

-

(3)
(2 x 2)

	()				
0.000	200.530	39544.66	1	39544.366	()
0.000	19.301	3806.234	1	3806.234	
0.763	0.114	22.563	1	22.563	
0.624	0.243	47.844	1	47.844	×
		197.199	85	16761.954	
			89	71292.500	

-2

(3)
(2x2)

(2x2)

(0.000=) (3) (2)
(19.301) " " () (2)

(92.82)

.(82.78)

(86.04)

(89.72)

(2)

(1999)

(124.74)

(Dori, 2000)

.(104.27)

.(Herreid, 1994)

(96.73)

(121.47)

×2)

(2x2)

(2

" " (0.736=)
(0.114)

(4)

						/
22	1.82	22.18	2.43	8.27		
25	2.84	21.88	2.07	8.28		
47	2.40	22.02	2.22	8.28		
23	1.54	21.74	3.17	8.70		
20	2.24	21.55	3.11	8.25		
43	1.86	21.65	3.11	8.49		
45	1.68	21.96	2.81	8.49		
45	2.57	21.73	2.55	8.27		
90	2.16	21.84	2.67	8.38		

(2 × 2)

(0.624=)
(0.243) " "

(4)

(4)

(8.49)

:

(8.27)

:

(8.28)

"-3

(8.49)

)

" (

-4

(21.65)

(21.96)

(5) (2x2)
(2x2)

(21.73)

(22.02)

(5)
(2x2)

	()				
0.681	0.171	0.824	1	0.824	()
0.597	0.282	1.35	1	1.35	
0.406	0.698	3.34	1	3.34	
0.903	0.015	0.071	1	0.071	×
		4.783	85	411.298	
			89	415.822	

(2x2)

(5)

" "

(0.597=)

(0.282)

(1999)

(Stotter, 2004)

(Herreid, 1994)

(Dori, 2000)

(2004)

(5)

" "

(0.698)

(0.406)

(2004)

(0.903=) (5)
(0.015) " "

.261- 206 ()88

Baska, J. (1998). Planning Science Programs For High Ability Learners. Available at: <http://ericec.org/digist/e546.html> cited on 26/10/2003.

2003

Dori, Y. J. (2000). Formal and Informal Collaborative Projects: Engaging In Industry with Environmental Awareness, *Science Education*, 84: 95-113.

-88 (1) 30

.104

2004

Herreid, C.F. (1994). Case Studies In Science A Novel Method of Science Education. *Journal of College Science Teaching*, 23(4): 221-229.

1999

Herreid, C.F. (2005). Using Case Studies to Teach Science. Available at: <http://buffalo.edu/libraries/projects/cases/teaching/videos/video.html> Cited on 18 sep 2005

/ .211-157 :(1) 2 /
2004

Hu, W and Adey, P. (2002). A Scientific Creativity Test For Secondary School Students. *International Journal Of Science Education*. 24 (4), 389-403

.125-92 (73)19

2004

The National Research Council (NRC)/(2002). Learning and Understanding: Improving Advanced Study of Mathematics and Science In U.S. High Schools: Report of the Content Panel for Biology To The National Research Council (NRC), Washington, Dc. National Academy Press Available at:<http://books.nap.edu/books/ni000404/html/37.html> Cited On 24 Feb 2004

1993

2003

- Secondary School Agriculture Curriculum As Perceived By Agricultural Educators,(un published ph.D dissertation). IOWA State University, Dissertations Abstracts International, (AAT 3085944) Available at: <http://wwwlib.umi.com/dissertations/fullCIT/AAT 3085944> cited on 17/2/2004
- Stotter, Daniel Edgar. (2004). Assessment of the learning and attitude modification of technology education students who complete an instructional unit on agriculture and biotechnology. North Carolina State University. Dissertation abstracts International, AAT 3137129.
- Torrance, E.P. (1990, a): Thinking Creatively With Words, Verbal Booklet (A). Scholastic Testing Service, Inc.Bensenville.USA.
- Torrance, E. P. (1990 ,b): Manual For Scoring And Interpreting Results, Torrance Tests Of Creative Thinking. Scholastic Testing Service, Inc.Bensenville.USA.
- Wood, W.B. (2002). Advanced High School Biology in an Era of Rapid Change: A Summary Of The Biology Panel Report from NRC Committee on Programs For Advanced Study Mathematics and Science In American High Schools. Available at: <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=149809> CITED ON 17/12/2003
- Mcnaught, C., Lau .W.M, Lam, P. 2005. The Dilemma of Case-Based Teaching and Learning in Science in Hong Kong: Students Need it, Want it, But may not Value it. *International Journal of Science Education*. 27 (9). 1017-1036. Available at: [http://journalsonline.tandf.co.uk/\(u5aw1155gtx3i2450kyayb45\)/app/home/contribution.asp?referrer=parent&backto=issue, 1, 7; journal, 5,88; searchpublicationsresults, 2, 2; homemain, 1,1; cited on 5-9-2005](http://journalsonline.tandf.co.uk/(u5aw1155gtx3i2450kyayb45)/app/home/contribution.asp?referrer=parent&backto=issue, 1, 7; journal, 5,88; searchpublicationsresults, 2, 2; homemain, 1,1; cited on 5-9-2005).
- Poland, D. L. (2003). Instructional Strategies In Science Classroom Of Specialized Secondary Schools For The Gifted, (un published ph.D dissertation). Collage of William and Mary, Dissertation Abstract International, AAT 3083414 Available at: <http://wwwlib.umi.com/dissertations/fullCIT/AAT 3083414> CITED ON 7/1/2004
- Raj, M. (2003) .Teaching Of Engineering Biotechnology, Proceeding Of the 2003 American Society for Engineering, Educational Annual Conference. Available At: <http://www.galaxy.rowan.edu/asee/papers.2003.html> CITED ON 17/12/2005
- Salser, M. R. (2001). What is Individualized Instruction. Available at: <http://www.eralearning.org/01/WhatIsII.phtml> Cited on 26-10-2005.
- Sikinnyi, T. A. (2003). The Role Of Biosciences And Biotechnolog In Agricultural Education In The

The Effect of Teaching a Suggested Unit on Biotechnology by Individualized Teaching and Case Study Method on the Development of Creative Thinking of Secondary School Students and the Acquisition of these Unit Concepts

*Taghreed A. Hababbeh**

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to investigate the effect of individualized teaching and case study method on the development of creative thinking by secondary school students and the acquisition of the concepts of a proposed unit on biotechnology. The sample of the study consisted of 90 students of eleventh grade/scientific stream from The Jubilee School/King Hussein Foundation. The sample was divided into two groups; one group was instructed via Individualized Teaching Method and the other one via Case Study Method. The tests were administrated before and after instruction. In order to achieve the purpose of the study, the researcher utilized: a creative thinking test, a proposed unit on biotechnology which was redesigned according to the two teaching methods in this research (Individualized Teaching and Case Study), the teacher's guide as well as an achievement test. The reliability and the validity of the tests were obtained. The results of the study revealed: a differences in creative thinking due to teaching methods in favour of Individualized Teaching, However, no difference was found due to the interaction between teaching methods and gender. Also, no difference was found in the acquisition of Biotechnology concepts by the eleventh grade science students taught via the Individualized Teaching method and those taught via Case Study Method. No difference was found regarding the effect of interaction between teaching methods and gender. In the light of the foregoing results, it is recommended that science teachers be trained on using both methods effectively in instruction. It is also recommended that further research to be conducted to find the effect of other factors on creative thinking .

Keywords: Individualized Teaching, Case Study Method, Biotechnology, Creative Thinking, Concepts.

* Researcher, Al-Jubilee School, Amman, Jordan. Received on 14/12/2009 and Accepted for Publication on 19/7/2010.