

\*

( )

( 32 45) (67)

( / )

" "

/

:

.1

(1978 )

...

(1984 )

( )

2006/10/8

2005/10/16

\*

/

( )

(1982 )

:

-1

)

(Chan and Michel; 1998)

(

(1983 ) (1987 ) (1986 )

-2

)

( (1986 ) (1997 )

(1997

:

-1

-

-2

)

(

-3

.2

(1986 )

-

(Martens, R., 1996) (Chan and Michel; 1998)

(1978 ) (1993 ) (1976

:

(50) : 182

(30)

(51)

(51)

(14)

(1988 ) -

19

(32)

23 -21

(1993 ) -

(171)  
-1991)

(1992

(Hagland and Other, 1990) -

- (47) : (97)

.( (25) (25)

( )

)

.(

(1993 ) -

( " " (119) " (1997 ) -  
( 56 -63)

." ( ) ( ) (38)

: (2001 ) -  
) (37) .(

( .... )  
( - )

( - ) ( - ) ( - )

( ) (2004 ( - ) -

**.3**

:  
(Gill; 2000) : ) . (56)

(2001 ) .(1969 )

" (1992 ) :

( ) :

( )

(423)

.( 191) ( 232)

(2001 )

.(2003 ) (1986 )

/

67)

2004 /2003

(%15)

(32)

(35)

(%52.2)

(1)

(%47.8)

(1)

( )

% 52.2	35		
% 47.8	32		
% 100	67		

(1986 ) (2001 )

.(2003 )

(9)

-1

(5) (4)

(6)

/ / )

) (2001 )

.(2003 ) (1986 ) (

.(1986

)

-2

.(...

-3



( / )

36

( )

( ... )

(1997 )

( ... )

)

(

/

:

(50)

( )

:

" "

)

:

:

(4)

(4)

(

-

/

( )

:

(12)

2003/9/28

:

(12)

.2004/1/11

(0.5)

(8)

.4

(21)

:

(14)

)

(6)

(21)

(

(3.83)

(10.9)

(15.09)

(2.92)

(3)

(2.89) (12.40)  
 (2.03) (17.17)  
 ( )  
 ( - )

(Singer; 1980)

) (2001 ) (2004 ) (2004  
 .(1995 ) (1999 )

(3)  
 ( ) ( )  
 ( )

	( )						( )					
0.00	11.715	0.782	8.530	0.696	9.105	0.00	9.541	0.554	7.378	0.587	7.815	50)
												(
0.00	5.128-	5.40	23.81	7.34	20.34	0.00	5.572	7.62	27.02	8.359	23.771	)
												(
0.00	6.211	2.52	21.43	2.59	18.00	0.00	7.360	4.08	27.08	3.71	22.22	)
												(
0.00	506585	1.04	12.09	1.489	13.18	0.00	7.797	0.563	10.14	0.780	10.78	)
												(
0.00	8.0767	5.33	36.59	6.76	31.125	0.00	8.318	5.52	35.85	6.38	29.51	)
												(
0.00	9.118	15.90	160.40	19.86	14.665	0.00	7.118	27.51	216.71	27.76	203.00	)
												(
0.00	6.166	0.327	2.06.14	0.330	2.14.25	0.00	5.826	0.235	1.40.43	0.257	1.53.20	600)
												(

(4)

( / )

32 =				35 =				
0.173	0.968	0.449	0.718	0.318	0.885	0.376	0.828	-1
0.491	0.593	0.499	0.468	0.483	0.628	0.489	0.4	-2
0.474	0.656	0.499	0.531	0.376	0.828	0.318	0.114	-3
0.484	0.625	0.418	0.375	0.483	0.371	0.4	0.2	-4
0.463	0.687	0.496	0.437	0.484	0.657	0.499	0.485	-5
0.491	0.593	0.499	0.531	0.349	0.857	0.4	0.8	-6
0.5	0.5	0.484	0.375	0.419	0.771	0.483	0.628	-7
0.463	0.687	0.499	0.468	0.451	0.714	0.437	0.742	-8
0.499	0.531	0.474	0.343	0.437	0.742	0.464	0.685	-9
0.463	0.687	0.484	0.625	0.464	0.685	0.451	0.285	-10
0.433	0.75	0.491	0.593	0.4	0.8	0.499	0.514	-11
0.491	0.593	0.5	0.5	0.376	0.828	0.464	0.685	-12
0.413	0.781	0.499	0.468	0.489	0.4	0.4	0.2	-13
0.491	0.593	0.496	0.437	0.419	0.771	0.494	0.571	-14
0.5	0.5	0.463	0.312	0.474	0.657	0.464	0.314	-15
0.463	0.687	0.484	0.375	0.451	0.714	0.437	0.257	-16
0.474	0.656	0.491	0.406	0.318	0.885	0.464	0.685	-17
0.5	0.5	0.496	0.437	0.376	0.828	0.419	0.771	-18
0.363	0.843	0.499	0.531	0.437	0.742	0.464	0.314	-19
0.484	0.375	0.484	0.375	0.498	0.457	0.499	0.514	-20
0.491	0.406	0.463	0.312	0.494	0.571	0.464	0.685	-21
0.449	0.718	0.496	0.562	0.451	0.714	0.494	0.571	-22
0.463	0.687	0.499	0.468	0.349	0.857	0.4	0.8	-23
0.499	0.468	0.433	0.25	0.4	0.8	0.474	0.342	-24
2.92	15.09	3.83	10.90	2.03	17.17	2.89	12.40	

( ... )

(2000 )

(1984 )

(5)

/ (0.5)

(21)

(17)

(13)

(9)

(6)

(14.78)

(18.59)

(4.44)

(13.45)

(2.63)

(9.17)

(2.69)

( )

(4.11)

)

(1986

(2003 ) (1986 ) (2001 )

(Lee , 1993)

(1988 )

(5)

( / )

32 =				35 =				
0.291	0.906	0.413	0.781	0.489	0.6	0.166	0.971	-1
0.363	0.483	0.496	0.562	0.489	0.4	0.499	0.514	-2
0.433	0.75	0.499	0.531	0.419	0.228	0.474	0.342	-3
0.330	0.875	0.463	0.687	0.464	0.314	0.494	0.428	-4
0.413	0.781	0.474	0.656	0.494	0.571	0.498	0.542	-5
0.242	0.937	0.363	0.843	0.483	0.628	0.451	0.714	-6
0.449	0.718	0.496	0.562	0.464	0.314	0.498	0.457	-7
0.291	0.906	0.433	0.75	0.483	0.628	0.419	0.771	-8
0.499	0.531	0.491	0.593	0.4	0.2	0.474	0.342	-9
0.173	0.968	0.449	0.718	0.474	0.657	0.483	0.628	-10
0.491	0.406	0.390	0.812	0.318	0.114	0.437	0.257	-11
0.173	0.687	0.433	0.75	0.451	0.714	0.166	0.971	-12
0.491	0.593	0.491	0.593	0.464	0.314	0.419	0.771	-13
0.173	0.968	0.413	0.781	0.451	0.714	0.318	0.885	-14
0.390	0.812	0.484	0.375	0.279	0.085	0.483	0.371	-15
0.484	0.625	0.491	0.406	0.376	0.171	0.494	0.571	-16
0.291	0.906	0.390	0.812	0.494	0.571	0.489	0.6	-17
0.499	0.468	0.484	0.375	0.279	0.085	0.464	0.314	-18
0.173	0.968	0.291	0.906	0.483	0.628	0.318	0.885	-19
0.496	0.437	0.496	0.437	0.279	0.085	0.483	0.371	-20
0.330	0.875	0.491	0.406	0.4	0.2	0.464	0.314	-21
0.390	0.812	0.499	0.531	0.483	0.371	0.489	0.4	-22
0.433	0.75	0.499	0.468	0.498	0.457	0.419	0.771	-23
0.413	0.781	0.496	0.437	0.318	0.114	0.419	0.228	-24
2.63	18.59	4.44	14.78	4.11	9.17	2.69	13.45	

-2

-1

-3

(1988 )

...

(1978 )

....

(5)

(6)

/ )

.(

- )

(

- )

( - ) (

(2002 )

(6)

( / )

( )

( ) ( - )

	32=					35 =						
	( )					( )						
0.00	7.16	2.92	15.09	3.83	10.90	0.00	10.89	2.03	17.17	2.89	12.40	-
0.00	5.15	2.63	18.59	4.44	14.78	0.00	6.45	4.11	9.17	2.69	13.45	-

(7)

(7)

(Haywood and

(....

(1997 )

(1971 )

(1997 )

:

(7)

( ) ( / ) ( / )

	( )						( )					
0.00	3.40	2.92	15.09	2.03	17.17	0.075	1.80	3.83	10.90	2.89	12.00	
0.00	11.04	2.63	18.59	4.11	9.171	0.141	1.49	4.44	14.78	2.69	13.45	

-5

-1

-2

-3

-4

(Benet and Highlen; 1979)

(1993 ) (1983 ) (Seist; 1968)

(Singer; 1975)

(1978 )

( )

(1993 )

(Burton;1960)

(Newman;1970) (Oglive and Tutko;1967) (Knapp;1965)

) (1979 ) (1976 )

(1982 ) (1981

(Suinn; 1980)

(8)

( / ) ( )

32 =				35 =				
0.502	0.571	0.471	0.685	0.507	0.468	0.507	0.531	-1
0.471	0.314	0.471	0.314	0.491	0.375	0.507	0.468	-2
0.355	0.142	0.507	0.514	0.498	0.593	0.491	0.625	-3
0.502	0.428	0.505	0.542	0.498	0.406	0.504	0.437	-4
0.471	0.685	0.502	0.571	0.482	0.343	0.491	0.375	-5

0.426	0.228	0.490	0.371	0.504	0.437	0.507	0.468	-6
0.443	0.257	0.471	0.314	0.491	0.375	0.507	0.531	-7
0.502	0.571	0.505	0.542	0.482	0.343	0.491	0.375	-8
0.497	0.400	0.507	0.514	0.491	0.375	0.482	0.656	-9
1.611	3.718	1.319	4.468	1.364	3.600	1.436	4.371	

(8)

:

(5) (4)

.1

(9)

.2

(4)

(5)

.3

(6)

.5

.4

:

.5

.1

( / )

.2

.6

.3

.4

)

2003

(

1971

2002

2

1997

2001

1992  
1993  
1993 4  
1993  
2000  
1988  
22 -21  
1993  
/  
1978 4  
1973  
1997  
24 - 21  
/  
1986  
/  
1 4 -1  
1984  
1997  
(1996 - 1896)  
2004  
(1) (19)  
1999  
1986  
(  
1983  
1997  
/  
24 - 21  
1987  
2  
2001  
1  
1978  
1982  
1969  
1995  
1986  
1976  
1986  
1983

Chan, Kai-Ming and Micheli, Lyle, J. 1998. Sports and Children ,Human Kinetics ,U.S.A.

Gill, Diane L. 2000. Psychological Dynamics of Sport And Exercise " Second Edition , Human Kinetics, U.S.A.

- And Practice, Boundary Row London.
- Marens, R. 1996. *Intensive Participation In Childrens Sports*, Champaign, Human Kinetics, U.S.A.
- Seist, F.D. 1968. *Psychol of Superior Athielte*". Macmillan Co., London, 23.
- Singer, N.R. 1980. *Motor Learning and Human performance*. 3<sup>rd</sup> edition; Macmillan Publishing Co., Inc., New York.
- Singer, Robert. 1975. *Myths and Truths in Sports Psychology*". New York, Harper and Row Publish.
- Suinn, RichardM. 1980. *Psychology in Sport*. Minnesotac Burgess Company, U.S.A.
- Hagland, Y. Edman, G. Orel. 1990. *Does Swedish a Mateur Boxing Lead to Chronic Brain Damage*". I.A. Retrospective Medical, Neurological and Personality Trait Study", *acta, Neurologica Scand in Avica*, Copenhagen, Denmark.
- Haywood, Kathleen M. and Getchell, Nancy. 2001. *Life Span Motor Development*, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition , Human Kinetics.
- Highlen, P.W. and Bennett, B. 1979. Psychological Characteristics of Successful and Nonsuccessful Elite Wrestlers an Exploratory Study. *Journal of Sport Psychology*, 1, 123-137.
- Lee, Martin. 1993. *Coaching Children In Sport Principles*

## **The Effect of Developing Physical Fitness in Developing Some Positive Trails**

*Qasem M. Khwaileh\**

### **ABSTRACT**

The effect of developing physical fitness in developing some positive personality traits which enhancing learning of movement skills through faculty of sport sciences students (male / female). This study aimed to Know the effect of physical fitness enhancing in developing the positive personality traits which enhancing learning of movement skills (neuroticism, introversion) through 67 students (35 male, 32 female), whom registry in the first semester from the first stage students in Sport Science faculty /Mutah University. The personality questionnaire, which used to the test the personality traits designed by "Eysenk", after the data was collected, it was analyzed by means S.D, and T, test.

The results indicated that there is an effect for enhancing physical fitness in developing the personality traits. Female was recognized as introversion and neuroticism in the pre test and lower introversion and more neurotic in the post test. While mails have a small level of introversion, neuroticism in the pre test and lower of introversion and. Neuroticism in the post test.

**Keywords:** Physical Fitness Level, Personality, Traits.

---

\* Faculty of Physical Sciences , Mu'tah University, Jordan. Received on 16/10/2005 and Accepted for Publication on 8/10/2006.