

/

\*

:

(Blackboard)

/

/

/

/

:

(52)

(Uzunboylu, 2004 Khlaisang, .

.2010)

(32-30 2004 )

(Garrison and Vaughan,

2008; Allen and Seaman, 2008; Bonk and Graham, 2005;

Dziuban, Hartman and Moskal, 2004; Bourne and Moore,

2004)

.2011/12/15

2009/4/27

\*

/

	:			
	:			(91 :2001 )
	-			
	-			
	-	E-)		(Mail
	-			
	-	(Ahern and Martindale, 2001)		
	-			
	-			
	-			
( )	-			
:				(2005)
		"	"	
(Sternberger, 2006, Desjardins et al. 2008)	(38)	(34)	(72)	
		:		
Web-Based Learning				-
				-
Metacognition				-
(Wang, 2004)				-
(Summerville, 2005)				-
		(McFeeters et al., 2008)		-
		:		-
				-
				-
%900				-
750				-
(Summerville, 2005) 2005				-
(Al Senaidi, 2008)				-

(ERIC)

(2000)

" "

:

(Lin and Tsai, 2010)

-

-

259

:

-

-

(2002)

( 2000)

(0.05)

:

-

(50-40)

(50)

-

-

(2002)

-

(1420)

(C.S.U)

(Eltsworth, 1997)  
(1657)

(Hanci-Karademirci and Karaaslan,  
2010)

(Banerjee, 2010)

167

64

" "

12

2003

" " ( 240)

:

(Pan et al., 2005)

(732)

:

-

-

-

:

(Schutte, 1997)

:

- -

)

-3

/

(

/

:

-1

/

:

(11 -10 :2002

) .

-1

:

-2

:

-3

-2

/

:

-4

:

-

(Blackboard)

/

-

/

-

/

/

( 1432/1431)

:

(116)

-1

(72)

/

:

-2

(Pre-test) -2 (1) .(

(Post-test) -3 (1)

-4

24	8	16	
28	18	10	
52	26	26	

(t)

( 10) ( 10)  
10) ( 10)

.(

(ANCOVA)

(0 05)  
(SPSS)

.(t)

(%87)

(.94 =.89)

(Blackboard)

(0,89)

(77 -42)

-1

(Blackboard)

(Blackboard)

(84,1) (77,8)  
 (6,3)  
 (5,1) (83,3) (78,2)  
 (2)  
 (2)

(ANCOVA)  
 .(3)  
 (ANCOVA)

(3)

4.9	75,0	16		
6.9	81,6	10		
6.6	77,8	26		
4.2	83,4	8		
3.9	84,5	18		
4.0	84,1	26		
6.2	78,2	24		
5.4	83.3	28		
6.3	80.9	52		

( )

(21,20)

(84.1)  
 .(77, 8)  
 (3)

( )

( - )  
 (11, 94)  
 (0,05=x)

(2)

(2)

(3)

	( )				
0,172	1,91	47,34	1	47,34	
0,000	* 21,20	525,99	1	525,99	
0,001	* 11,94	296,22	1	296,22	
-	* 2,62	164,18	1	164,18	
-	-	24,81	47	1662,03	
			51	2810,65	

(0 05 =x)

\*

.(Blackboard)

:

:

(ANCOVA)

:

(3)

(2,62)

( )

.(0,05=x)

(ANCOVA)

:

(ANCOVA)

:

( )

-1

-2

-3

(Eltswprth,

:

(Blackboard)

(Schutte, 1997)

1997)

(2002

)

2005

2001

. 2005

- 1426

1421

-

6

2

2004

.115-91

- San Francisco: Pfeiffer Publishing. . - 5
- Bourne, J. and Moore, J. C. (2004). *Elements of Quality Online Education: Into the Mainstream*. Needham MA: Sloan Center for Online Education .17 1997 2
- Desjardins, F. and vanOostveen, R. (2008). Collaborative Online Learning Environment: Towards a process driven approach and collective knowledge building. In Proceedings of World Conference on Educational Multimedia, Hypermedia and Telecommunications 2008 (pp. 2563-2570). Chesapeake, VA .2 2000
- Dziuban, C., Hartman, J. and Moskal, P. (2004, March 30). *Blended Learning*. Retrieved March 29, 2009, from Educause Applied Research (ECAR): <http://www.educause.edu/ecar/> .308-307 2 12 1999
- Eltsworth, James (1997). Curricular Integration of The world wide web, Teach trends for leaders in Education and Training, Washington, D.C, Vol.42, (2)pp.24. .12-11
- Ford, Nigel and Miller, Dave (1997). Gender Differences in Internet perceptions and use Aslib proceedings, v.98, 7/8. (Jul-Aug. 1997). -162 52 2000
- Garrison, D. R., and Vaughan, N. D. (2008). *Blended Learning in Higher Education*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Wiley Imprint. - .183 1420
- Hanci-Karademirci, A. and Karaaslan, D.H. (2010). The Effect of Individual Differences on Acceptance of Web-Based Learning Management System: A Case of the Central Bank of the Republic of Turkey. In Z. Abas et al. (Eds.), *Proceedings of Global Learn Asia Pacific 2010* (pp. 485-489) .17-14
- Khlaisang, J. (2010). Proposed Models of Appropriate Website and Courseware for E-Learning in Higher Education: Research Based Design Models. In J. Sanchez & K. Zhang (Eds.), *Proceedings of World Conference on E-Learning in Corporate, Government, Healthcare, and Higher Education 2010* (pp. 1520-1529). Chesapeake, VA
- Lin, H.M. & Tsai, C.C. (2010). Students' Conceptions of Learning Management: A Comparison between traditional instruction and Web-based Learning environment. In Z. Abas et al. (Eds.), *Proceedings of Global Learn Asia Pacific 2010* (pp. 1229-1236).
- McFeeters, F., Moore, A. and Chief, I. (2008). The development of a holistic online course development model (year 1). *AACE Journal*, 16(1), 63-75.
- Pan, C. C., Gunter, G., Sivo, S., & Cornell, R. (2005). Ahern, T.C. and Martindale, T. (2001). The Effects of Three Web-Based Delivery Models on Undergraduate College Student Achievement. *International Journal of Educational Telecommunications*, 7 (4), 379-392. Norfolk, VA
- Allen, E., & Seaman, J. (2008). *Staying the Course: Online Education in the United States, 2008*. Boston: Sloan Consortium Babson Survey Research Group.
- Al Senaidi, S. (2008). Web-based Instruction in Improving Student's Attitudes and Learning Achievements in Arab Countries. In K. McFerrin et al. (Eds.), *Proceedings of Society for Information Technology & Teacher Education International Conference 2008* (pp. 192-197). Chesapeake, VA
- Banerjee, G. (2010). Blended Learning Environments: Student Satisfaction and Institutional Responses at a Small College. In Z. Abas et al. (Eds.), *Proceedings of Global Learn Asia Pacific 2010* (pp. 3380-3386).
- Bonk, C. and Graham, C. (2005). *Handbook of Blended Learning: Global perspectives, local designs*.

Wang, T., Wang, W., Wang, K. & Huang, H. (2004). A Case Study of Web-based Instruction (WBI): The Effectiveness of Using FrontPage Feedback System (FFS) as Metacognition Strategy for Freshmen Biology Teaching. *International Journal on E-Learning*. 3 (2), pp. 18-27. Norfolk, VA.

Uzunboylu, H. (2004). The Effectiveness of Web Assisted English Language Instruction on the Achievement and Attitude of the Students. In L. Cantoni & C. McLoughlin (Eds.), *Proceedings of World Conference on Educational Multimedia, Hypermedia and Telecommunications 2004* (pp. 727-733). Chesapeake, VA.

End-user acceptance of a learning management system in two hybrid large-sized introductory undergraduate courses: A case study. *Educational Technology Systems*, 33(4), 355-365.

Schutte, Jerald (1997). Virtual Teaching in Higher Education: The New Intellectual Superhighways or Just Traffic Jam?

Sternberger, C. (2006). Development and evaluation of a faculty designed courseware. *AACE Journal*, 14(1), 45-61.

Summerville, J. (2005). Developing an online "Code of Conduct". *AACE Journal*, 13(2), 127-136. Norfolk, VA.

## **The Effect of Using an Educational Website on Learning Achievement of the Students Taking "Designing Educational Software" in the Faculty of Teachers College, King Saud University**

*Othman Turki\**

### **ABSTRACT**

This study aimed at exploring the effect of using educational website on learning achievement of the students who are studying "Designing Educational Software" in Teachers College, King Saud University. Precisely, this study tried to answer the following questions:

- What is the affect of using the learning Management System "Blackboard" on the learning achievement of the students who are studying "Designing Educational Software" in Teachers College, King Saud University?
- What is the effect of the scientific major variable on learning achievement of the students who are studying "Designing Educational Software" in Teachers College, King Saud University?
- What is the effect of interaction between the way of presenting the educational material and the scientific major on the learning achievement of the students who are studying "Designing Educational Software" in Teachers College, King Saud University?
- The study sample was (52) of students. The main results of the study are the following:
- There is a statistical significant difference in the total mean on the achievement test for the experimental group, which means that the experimental group was better in performance than the control group.
- There is a statistical significant difference in the total mean on the total mean for learning achievement on the favor of the scientific specialization.
- There is statistically significant differences on the favor of interaction between the method of presenting the content and scientific major.

The researcher recommended further educational research that investigates using the internet and its applications in education especially through distance learning.

**Keywords:** An Educational Website; Internet; Designing Software.

---

\* Faculty of Teaching, King Saud University, Saudi Arabia. Received on 27/4/2009 and Accepted for Publication on 15/12/2011.