

*

(92)

.()

(48)

:

)

.(1993

.(Lamier,1986).
(Sykes,1996)

.2011/2/28

2010/10/3

*

/

(Blum & Hard)

(Spark,1984)

"

1996)"

(15.

(20 2004)
(1984)

:

-1

-2

(Harris,1996)

-1

($\alpha = 0.05$)

-2

($\alpha = 0.05$)

(wood & lease,1987)

(1)

(1)

56.5	52	
43.5	40	
32.6	30	5
37	34	10-5
30.4	28	10
39.1	36	
60.9	56	
12	11	
62	57	
26	24	
34.8	32	
65.2	60	

(1996)

(33)

(112)

(Butler,1992)

((42

(25- 5)

(21)

(Day,1997)

.1

(Murhy,1987)

.2

.(1996)

.3

(48)

)

(370)

(92)

.(

-5

Chronbach)

(2) (Alpha

(2)

0.70		
0.76		
0.72		
0.71		
0.70		
0.92		

%50
 %59.9-%50
 %69.9-%60
 %79.9-%70
 %80

(6) (5) (4) (3)
 (3)

(2)

(0.92)

.(75.6%)
 (3.15)
 (4.32)

(3.78)
 (5)
 (6)

5)
 (10 10-5
 ()
 ()

(2004)

(Murhy, 1987)

(4)

(SPSS)

-1

(3.38)
 .(4.19)

(16)
 (12)

.(Independent t-test)
 .One Way ANOVA
 (Chronbach Alpha)

" "
 -2
 -3
 -4

(Murhy, 1987)

(2004)

(3)

	%				
	71.8	0.58	3.59		1
	65.6	0.56	3.28		2
	83.6	0.49	4.18		3
	67.0	0.65	3.35		4
	63.0	0.71	3.15		5
	86.4	0.53	4.32		6
	83.0	0.49	4.15		7
	73.4	0.68	3.67		8
	79.2	0.59	3.96		9
	83.2	0.48	4.16		10
	75.6	0.30	3.78		

(4)

	%				
	83.2	0.40	4.16		11
	83.8	0.44	4.19		12
	74.2	0.57	3.71		13
	80.0	0.44	4.00		14
	74.6	0.49	3.73		15
	67.6	0.53	3.38		16
	78.0	0.51	3.90		17
	82.0	0.39	4.10		18
	80.8	0.66	4.04		19
	80.6	0.43	4.03		20
	78.4	0.28	3.92		

(5)

	%				
	74.8	0.89	3.74		21
	88.0	0.49	4.40		22
	84.6	0.59	4.23		23
	79.6	0.33	3.98		24
	59.4	0.94	2.97	(.....)	25
	73.2	0.62	3.66		26
	70.6	0.60	3.53		27
	84.6	0.49	4.23		28
	78.6	0.42	3.96		29
	71.0	0.69	3.55		30
	76.6	0.34	3.83		

(4.20)

(5)

(3.83)

(25)

(.76.6%)

(2006)

(22)

(.2.97)

(4.40)

(7)

(.78.8%)

(3.94)

(1996)

(3.79)

(42)

(.75.8%)

(3.29)

(6)

(4.33)

(45)

(70.2)

(3.51)

(3.00)

(31)

(39) (38)

(Murhy, 1987)

(6)

	%				
	60.0	0.94	3.00		31
	60.8	0.86	3.04		32
	62.4	0.53	3.12		33
	67.0	0.67	3.35		34
	78.0	0.45	3.90		55
	63.2	0.79	3.16		36
	81.0	0.45	4.05		37
	84.0	0.47	4.20		38
	84.0	0.50	4.20		39
	61.4	1.03	3.07		40
	70.2	0.37	3.51		

(7)

	%				
	78.4	0.63	3.92		41
	65.8	0.82	3.29		42
	77.0	0.66	3.85		43
	75.8	0.64	3.79		44
	86.6	0.47	4.33		45
	83.6	0.42	4.18		46
	82.6	0.45	4.13		47
	81.0	0.37	4.05		48
	78.8	0.33	3.94		
	75.8	0.28	3.79		

(8) :

(8)

%86.1		1
%75.2		2
%65.4		3
%50.2		4
%45		5
%38.2		6

(2004)

: : .1

: (%21.7)

($\alpha = 0.05$)

(%19.6)

(%17.4)

(%15.2)

Independent t- test

" "

(9)

(%12) ()

.(%9.8)

:(9)

" "

*	t	(40 =)		(52 =)		
0.978	0.027	0.31	3.78	0.30	3.78	
0.741	0.326	0.30	3.94	0.26	3.92	
0.951	0.063	0.32	3.83	0.35	3.82	
0.797	0.256	0.38	3.52	0.36	3.50	
0.238	1.156	0.37	3.99	0.30	3.91	
0.697	0.386	0.29	3.80	0.27	3.78	

(1.96)

()

(90)

($\alpha = 0.05$)

*

(11)

	F					
0.438	0.833	0.076	2	0.151		
		0.091	89	8.068		
			91	8.219		
0.313	1.177	0.092	2	0.184		
		0.078	89	6.944		
			91	7.127		
0.652	0.430	0.049	2	0.098		
		0.115	89	10.214		
			91	10.312		
0.877	0.131	0.018	2	0.036		
		0.137	89	12.197		
			91	12.233		
0.764	0.270	0.030	2	0.059		
		0.110	89	9.796		
			91	9.855		
0.876	0.133	0.011	2	0.021		
		0.081	89	7.178		
			91	7.199		

($\alpha = 0.05$)

*

(12)

($\alpha = 0.05$)

(11)

($\alpha = 0.05$)

(2004)

:

.4

(1996)

(5)

:

(2004)

.4

($\alpha = 0.05$)

($\alpha = 0.05$)

(13)

(One-Way ANOVA)

(14)

" "

(12)

Independent t-test

(12)

" "

*	t	(56 =)		(36=)		
0.423	0.805	0.32	3.80	0.27	3.75	
*0.019	2.397	0.32	3.98	0.18	3.84	
*0.026	2.271	0.35	3.89	0.29	3.73	
*0.041	1.960	0.39	3.57	0.30	3.42	
*0.042	2.061	0.35	4.00	0.28	3.86	
*0.020	2.180	0.31	3.84	0.21	3.71	

(1.96) () (90) (0.05 = α) *

(13)

0.22	3.59	11	
0.28	3.75	57	
0.31	3.95	24	
0.30	3.78	92	
0.15	3.77	11	
0.29	3.90	57	
0.26	4.05	24	
0.28	3.92	92	
0.13	3.55	11	
0.35	3.83	57	
0.30	3.94	24	
0.34	3.83	92	
0.16	3.19	11	
0.36	3.54	57	
0.38	3.59	24	
0.37	3.51	92	
0.21	3.75	11	
0.30	3.90	57	
0.35	4.13	24	
0.33	3.94	92	
0.14	3.56	11	
0.27	3.78	57	
0.29	3.92	24	
0.28	3.79	92	

(14)

	F					
*0.002	6.930	0.554	2	1.107		
		0.080	89	7.111		
			91	8.219		
*0.010	4.817	0.348	2	0.696		
		0.072	89	6.431		
			91	7.127		
*0.006	5.486	0.566	2	1.132		
		0.103	89	9.181		
			91	10.313		
*0.006	5.363	0.658	2	1.316		
		0.123	89	10.917		
			91	12.233		
*0.002	7.000	0.670	2	1.340		
		0.096	89	8.516		
			91	9.855		
*0.001	7.198	0.501	2	1.002		
		0.070	89	6.197		
			91	7.199		

($\alpha = 0.05$)

*

(14)

($\alpha = 0.05$)

(Scheffe)

(15)

: .5

($\alpha = 0.05$)

:(15)

($\alpha = 0.05$)

" "

(16)

Independent t-test

(15)

(Scheffe)

*0.3549-	0.1582-			
*0.1967-				
*0.2814-	0.1255-			
0.1559-				
*0.3871-	*0.2735-			
0.1136-				
*0.4008-	*0.3442-			
0.0565-				
*0.3802-	0.1535-			
*0.2267-				
*0.3601-	0.2134-			
0.1467-				

($\alpha = 0.05$)

*

(16)

" "

*	t	(60 =)		(32=)		
0.777	0.284	0.32	3.78	0.25	3.79	
0.378	0.887	0.14	1.95	0.13	1.98	
0.154	1.439	0.36	3.79	0.28	3.89	
0.149	1.455	0.36	3.47	0.38	3.58	
0.352	0.936	0.35	3.92	0.29	3.99	
0.240	1.182	0.30	3.77	0.25	3.84	

(1.96)

()

(90)

(0.05 = α)

*

(16)

=0.05)

(α)

-

- :

:

-

-

1993

:

1992

1999

1982

1996

Butler, Jim ,(1992), Teacher professional development an Australian case study, Journal of education for teaching, ,18(3), 252-278.

1996

Day, Christopher,(1997),Being professional in schools and universities: limits, Purposes and possibilities for development, British Education Journal,23, (2), 5515-574. 2006

488 -449 (2) (8)

Harris, Ben, M,(1996),New leader ship and new Responsibilities for Human. Involvement, journal of the Association for supervisor and curriculum Development, 26 (8), 643-746. 8 .2007

2006

Lanier, J,(1986),Tomorrow's teachers: A Report of the Holmes Group, Michigan: state university.) (

Murrhy, David,(1987), The Administrative control of principals in effective school Districts, Journal of educational Administration, 25, (2), 62-90. ()

27-26

Sparks, D,(1984),Staff development and school improvement : An interview with Ernest Boyer, Journal of staff Development, 5, (2). 2004

.20 (4) (25)

Sykes,G.(1996).Reform of and as professional development, Phi Delta Kappan ,77(7), 465-467. 2004

Wood , F.H.& Lease, S.A,(1987),An Integrated approach to staff development , Supervision, and teacher evaluation, Journal of staff Development,(2)163. 1984 :

...

The Role of Governmental Secondary School Principal in the Professional Development of Teachers in the North of the West Bank

*Allan Othman, Mohammad Dabous and Hassan Tayyem **

ABSTRACT

This study aimed at investigating the role of government secondary school principal in the Professional development of teachers in north of the West Bank. In addition, it explains the impact of the following variables: sex, years of administrative experience, specialty, academic qualification, and the site of the school, in the professional development of teachers. To achieve the objective of the study ,the researchers developed a questionnaire consisted of (48) items distributed to five domains: curricula and methodology management of education, human relations and communication, self-homework of teacher, and supervision. The questionnaire was distributed to a sample study consisted of (92) males and females principals in different countries in north of the West Bank. The study, also, revealed that the role of governmental secondary schools was high on all five domains, and there are no significant differences attributed to :sex, years of administrative experience sand site of the school. Also ,the results revealed significant differences attributed to :specialty in the favour of human sciences. There were significant differences related academic qualification in favour of post-graduate to diploma on all five domains in favour of post-graduate to B.A.in two domains: curricula and methodology and supervision. There were significant differences in favour of B.A to diploma in two domains: human relations and communication and principal homework. The results revealed that there were many obstacles limit professional development of teachers, such as: highness of teachers classes load, absence of material and moral incentives. The researchers recommended to reduce teachers loads of classes and reinforced principals' role in professional teachers development.

Keywords: Professional Development, school Principle, The North of The West Bank.

* Al-najah University, Nabuls, Palestine. Received on 3/10/2010 and Accepted for Publication on 28/2/2011.