

\*

(91) (142) (233)  
(34) (18-12)

:

(2005 )

(Stewart and

.Brentano, 2006)

(2006 )

.(Harvey and Fine, 2004)

(Takyi and Gyima, 2007)

.(Mo, 2007)

(Jeynes, 2000)

---

\*

2009/5/6

.2009/12/29

/

.(Goode, 1993)

2004 %3.58  
(2 ) 2007 %23.4 (1 ) )  
.(2003

(1997 1994 )  
(2006 2003 )  
.(2004 )  
.(Rollin, Arnold, Solomon, Robin and Holland, 2008)  
.(2005

(1)

(\*) 2004

3.58	22069	789		1
3.06	10115	310		2
4.26	9187	391		3
3.31	3808	126		4
3.83	835	32		5

(2)

(\*) 2007

5.56	25107	1395		1
3.96	11550	457		2
5.72	10527	602		3
4.45	4361	194		4
5.45	972	53		5

.(2008 : ) \*

.(2004 )

.(Wallerstein and Blakselly, 2003)

(Whitefield, 1996)

.(Bandura, 1997)

.(Stewart and Brentano, 2006)

.(Ciairano, Kliewer, Bonino and Bosma, 2008)

.(Chandler, 2006; Ungar, 2004)

(2001 )

Sun (Anderson, 2002)

(2006 and li, 2002)

(Carr and Vandiver, 2001; Barns, 2007)

(Prelow, Weaver and Swenson, 2006)

(Simons and Marcussen, 1999)

(Heionen, Jarvinen and Raikonen, 2003)

(Messner, Bjarnans, 15 19-15  
.Raffalovich and Robinson, 2006)

(Bilgin and Akkpulu,

.2007)

.(2003 )

Christenson and

2000

Anderson, 2002)

.(Hall, 2003)

(2008

(Chen, Chan and Bond,

(2006 )

2006)

.(Poulin and Heckhausen, 2007)

(Sun and Li, 2007)

-1977

9252

1986

(Vandervalk,  
Spruiji, Geode and Larsen and Meeus, 2008)

:

-

)

-

(2004

-

(Ram

(kalmijen, 2007)  
and Hou, 2003)

-

15-12

(Bream and Buchanan,

(Pagani, 1993)

2003)

(Freemans and

76

Newland, 2002)

(Seiffge-Krenke, 1995)

(Pagani, 1994)

76

:

.1

.2

(Johnes and Jolly, 2003)

163

(Kurtz, 1994)

61

61

12- 8

(Bruce et al., 2006)

13-6

(Silbereisen, 1995)

)

(

%60

229

700

(13-10)

9

(Chung and Elias, 1996)

(2006)

556

1359

124

(2004)

320

362

.2009-2008

34

18-12

91

233

233

142

(3)

)

3

3

(2007

(3)

	182	91	91		
466	284	142	142		
	142	67	75	14 - 13	
	155	81	74	16 - 15	
466	169	85	84	18 - 17	
	41	41	--		
	104	104	--		
	56	56	--		
	5	5	--		
	17	17	--		
466	10	10	--		

(47 41 7 13 19) :

6) :

24) (46 40 12 18 (35 29 (Bandura, 1982) : - (Harter, 1990)

37 26 15 21) (32 3 9) (43 (Harter,1982) :

(44 38 4 10 16 22) (27 33) : 8 (Sherer, 1982) .(2004)

(25 42 20 2 8 14) (31 48) :

23) (48)

45) (39 34 5 11 17 (28

) :

(1,2,3,4)

Guttman .935

) :

(8)

(4) .811 (36 30 1)

0.740	8	
0.752	8	
0.723	8	
0.748	8	
0.748	8	



: 2.99 -  
 : 1.99-1 :  
 :

(6 ) 2 : : 4 -3 :  
 (6)

3.72217	23.4979	3.36462	22.2232	
2.90816	24.2060	2.47772	24.9442	
2.96280	23.6567	2.65896	23.6309	
3.25862	23.7983	2.99317	24.6824	
3.58009	22.6695	2.94143	23.7854	
3.01472	21.7597	2.62797	21.4936	
12.78712	139.5880	10.33210	140.7597	

(139.5880)

(6)

.(12.78712)

.(7 )

(10.33210)

(140.7597)

(7)

	( )					
.000	15.038	189.290	1	189.290		
		12.588	464	5840.644		
			465	6029.933		
.003	8.699	63.485	1	63.485		
		7.298	464	3386.386		
			465	3449.871		
.921	.010	.077	1	.077		
		7.924	464	3676.790		
			465	3676.867		
.002	9.303	91.064	1	91.064		
		9.789	464	4542.017		
			465	4633.082		
.000	13.514	145.064	1	145.064		

	( )					
		10.735	464	4980.824		
			465	5125.888		
.310	1.031	8.249	1	8.249		
		7.997	464	3710.781		
			465	3719.030		
.277	1.184	159.933	1	159.933		
		135.131	464	62700.987		
			465	62860.921		

(7)

(9.303) ( )

(.0002) (0.277) (1.184) ( )

\*

(15.038) ( )  
 .(0.000)

(13.514) ( )  
 .(0.000)

.(8)

(8.699) ( )  
 .(0.003)

(9 )

(8)

3.40851	23.1277		
3.91225	23.7482		
2.79411	24.3085		
2.99081	24.1367		
3.06425	23.4468		
2.89472	23.7986		
3.19469	23.7979		
3.31265	23.7986		
3.34885	22.5745		
3.73890	22.7338		

2.77883	21.0957			
3.09388	22.2086			
12.13340	138.3511			
13.18815	140.4245			
3.92726	22.9701		14 – 12	
3.71184	23.4815		16 – 15	
3.55162	24.9294		18 – 17	
3.13578	23.9851		14 – 12	
2.79787	24.5062		16 – 15	
2.83525	24.0941		18 – 17	
3.35021	23.6716		14 – 12	
2.48800	23.9012		16 – 15	
3.06786	23.4118		18 – 17	
3.36744	23.6866		14 – 12	
3.02984	23.9136		16 – 15	
3.41348	23.7765		18 – 17	
3.74123	22.1343		14 – 12	
3.22016	22.9259		16 – 15	
3.76862	22.8471		18 – 17	
3.31472	21.2687		14 – 12	
2.84317	21.9383		16 – 15	
2.91538	21.9765		18 – 17	
14.00520	137.7164		14 – 12	
11.18258	140.6667		16 – 15	
13.20123	140.0353		18 – 17	
3.42680	23.7250			
3.80370	22.9135			
2.70564	23.7500			
2.91650	24.3654			
2.80613	23.6500			
2.85378	23.6058			
2.62679	23.8500			
3.54903	23.7115			
3.64718	21.9250			
3.74015	22.8558			
2.90435	20.9750			
3.15600	21.7788			
10.41249	137.8750			
13.41223	139.2308			
3.67511	24.1091			

4.47214	24.0000			
3.18424	23.8364			
2.68328	24.8000			
2.97407	23.4545			
2.64575	22.0000			
3.34895	23.5455			
2.60768	22.6000			
3.54072	22.9818			
3.36155	19.4000			
3.01143	22.0727			
2.16795	21.2000			
13.34999	140.0000			
10.02497	134.0000			
3.07265	23.7647			
1.76360	24.8824			
3.60657	24.4118			
2.40098	24.5294			
2.14373	22.2941			
3.04259	22.4118			
8.99142	142.2941			

(9)

	( )					
.213	1.562	21.594	1	21.594		
		13.821	231	3192.655		
			232	3214.249		
.659	.195	1.656	1	1.656		
		8.487	231	1960.456		
			232	1962.112		
.375	.790	6.938	1	6.938		
		8.786	231	2029.594		
			232	2036.532		
.999	.000	.000	1	.000		
		10.665	231	2463.519		
			232	2463.519		
.740	.111	1.424	1	1.424		
		12.866	231	2972.130		
			232	2973.554		

	( )					
.005	7.868	69.453	1	69.453		
		8.827	231	2039.088		
			232	2108.541		
.225	1.477	241.075	1	241.075		
		163.175	231	37693.372		
			232	37934.446		

.(0.005) = (9)

.(10)

(7.868)

(10)

	( )					
.289	1.248	17.255	2	34.510		
		13.825	230	3179.739		
			232	3214.249		
.505	.686	5.816	2	11.633		
		8.480	230	1950.479		
			232	1962.112		
.569	.565	4.979	2	9.958		
		8.811	230	2026.574		
			232	2036.532		
.913	.091	.977	2	1.953		
		10.702	230	2461.566		
			232	2463.519		
.348	1.061	13.598	2	27.195		
		12.810	230	2946.358		
			232	2973.554		
.287	1.253	11.366	2	22.732		
		9.069	230	2085.808		
			232	2108.541		
.349	1.058	172.970	2	345.940		
		163.428	230	37588.506		
			232	37934.446		

(10)

(11)  
(11)

	( )					
.256	1.304	17.925	6	107.548		
		13.746	226	3106.700		
			232	3214.249		
.698	.640	5.467	6	32.804		
		8.537	226	1929.307		
			232	1962.112		
.110	1.751	15.074	6	90.442		
		8.611	226	1946.091		
			232	2036.532		
.616	.742	7.934	6	47.602		
		10.690	226	2415.918		
			232	2463.519		
.162	1.554	19.638	6	117.831		
		12.636	226	2855.723		
			232	2973.554		
.625	.731	6.688	6	40.126		
		9.152	226	2068.415		
			232	2108.541		
.321	1.174	191.180	6	1147.080		
		162.776	226	36787.366		
			232	37934.446		

(11)

(Pagani, 1993)

(Chung and

Elias,1996)

(Bruce et al., 2006)

(Johnes and Jolly, 2003)

(Pagani, 1993)

(Bruce et al., 2006)

(Bilgin and Akkapulu, 2007)

(Pagani, 1993)

(2004)

(Johnes and Jolly, 2003)  
(Bruce et al., 2006)

(18-12)

(Ram and Hou, 2003)

(2004)

(Seiffge-Krenke, 1995)

(2004)

(Eskin, 2008)

2006

2005

2007  
2004

2004

2004  
:2002 -1997

2006

2004

2008

2006

2007

.27

1994

: 2004

2003

2001

(11-9)

1997

2003

Anderson, Ch. 2002. Behavioral and Mental Defferences  
between Children of Divorce and Children from Intact  
Family: Clinical significance and Mediating Process, *Swiss*

2000

1 27

- Adolescence*, 37(147): 457.
- Goode, W. 1993. *World Changes in Divorce Patterns*, New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Hall, A. 2003. Expanding academic and career self-efficacy: A Family System Frame Work, *Journal of Counseling and Development*, 81 (1): 33.
- Harter, S. 1982. The Perceived Competence Scale for Children, *Child Development*, 53, 87-97.
- Harter, S. 1990. *Self and Identity Development*, In Shirley Feldman, S. and Elliot, G.R. (eds). *At the Threshold: The Developing Adolescence*. New York: Harvard University Press, P.352-387.
- Havery, J. and Fine, M. 2004. *Children of Divorce*, New Jersey: Laurence Erlbaum Association.
- Heinonen, K; Raikkonen, K. and Jarvinen, L. 2003. Maternal Perceptions and Adolescent Self-Esteem: A six- Year Longitudinal Study, *Adolescence*, 38 (152), 669.
- Jeynes, W. 2000. Longitudinal Analysis on the Effects of Remarriage Following Divorce on the Academic Achievement of Adolescents, *Journal of Divorce and Remarriage*, 33(12): 131-148.
- Jones, A. and Jolly, S. 2003. Power in North Carolina Parents: Is There A Relationship Between Family Structure and Adolescent Self-Efficacy? *Sociation Today*, 1(2).
- Kalmijn, M. 2007. Gender Differences in The Effects Of Divorce, Widowhood And Remarriage On Intergenerational Support: Does Marriage Protect Fathers? *Social Forces*, 85 (3): 179-104.
- Kurtz, L. 1994. Psychological Coping Resources in Elementary School Age Children of Divorce, *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 64(4): 554-563.
- Messner, S; Bjarnas, Th; Raffalovich, L. and Robinson, B. 2006. Non Marital Fertility and the Effects of Divorce Rates on Youth Suicide Rates, *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 8 (4), 105 - 111.
- Mo, W. 2007. The Divorce Culture and Picture Books for Young Children, *International Journal of Early child hood*, 39 (2): 23- 35.
- Pagani, L. 1993. The Effect of Divorce on Perceived Self-efficacy and Behaviour in Elementary School Children, *Journal of Divorce and Remarriage*, 20(3): 75- 94.
- Pagani, L. 1994. Children's Coping Resources Subsequent to Parental Divorce: A developmental Perspective. Paper Presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Psychological Association (Ontario, Canada, August 20-24, *Journal of Psychology*, 61(1): 5-14.
- Bandura, A. 1982. The Assessment and Predictive Generality of Self-Percepts of efficacy, *J. Behav. Ther. and Exp. Psychiat*, 13(3): 195-199.
- Bandura, C. 1997. *Self-Efficacy: The Exercise of Control*, Freeman: NY.
- Barnes, D. 2007. Divorce Transition: Identifying Risk and Promoting Resilience for Children and Their Parental Relationships, *Journal of Mental and Family Therapy*, 25(4): 425- 441.
- Bilgin, M. and Akkapulu, E. 2007. Some Variables Predicting Social-Efficacy Expectation, *Social Behaviour and Personality*, 35(6): 777-788.
- Bream, B. and Buchanan, A. 2003. Distress Among Children Who's Separated Parents or Divorced Parents Cannot Agree Arrangements For Them, *British Journal of Social Work*, 33, 227-238.
- Bruce, A. Cole, D; Dallaries, D. Jacquez, F; Pienda, A. and La Grage, B. 2006. Relations of Parenting and Negative Life Events to Cognitive Diatheses for Depression in Children, *Journal of Abnormal Child*, 34(3): 321+.
- Carr, M. and Vandiver, T. 2001. Risk and Protective Factors among Youth Offenders, *Adolescence*, 36 (143), 409+.
- Chandler, M. 2006. *The Influence of Parenting Style and Ethnicity on Academic Self-Efficacy: Academic Performance*, A senior Honor Theses, Under Graduate Research Fellows, Texas Uni. USA.
- Ciairano, S. Kliever, W. Bonino, S. and Bosma, H. 2008. Parenting and Adolescent Well-Being in Two European Countries, *Adolescence*, 43(169): 99+
- Chen, S; Chan, W. and Bond, M. (2006). The Effects of Self-Efficacy and Relations Harmony and Depression across Cultures, *Journal of Cross-cultures*, 37(6): 643-658.
- Christenson, S. and Anderson, A. 2002. Commentary: The Centrality of the Learning Context for Students Academic Enabler skills, *School Psychology Review*, 31(3): 378.
- Chung, H. and Elias, M. 1996. Patterns of Adolescents Involvement in Problem Behavior Relationship to Self-Efficacy, Social Competence, and Life Events, *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 24 (6): 771-784.
- Eskins, M. 2008. Self-reported Assertiveness in Swedish and Turkish Adolescents: A Cross Cultural Comparison, *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology*, 44(1):7-12.
- Freeman, H. and Newland, L. 2002 Family transition During the Adolescents Transition: Implication for Parenting,

- Beliefs, and Mental Health, *Journal of health and Social Behavior*, 40, 111-125.
- Stewart, A. and Brentano, C. 2006. Divorce: Causes and Consequences, New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Sun, Y. and Li, Y. 2002. Childrens Well Being during Marital Disruption process: Apooled time Series Analysis, *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 64 (2): 472-488.
- Sun, Y. and Li, Y. 2007. Racial and Ethnic Differences in Experiencing Parents Marital Disruption during Late Adolescence, *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 69(3): 742-762.
- Takyi, B. and Gyimah, S. 2007. Matrilineal Family Ties and Marital Dissolution in Ghana, *Journal of Family Issues*, 28(5): 682-705.
- Ungar, M. 2004. The Emportance of Parents and other Caregivers to the Reselience of High Risk Adolscents, *Family Process*, 43(1): 1-30.
- Vandervalk, I; Spruijt, E; Goede, M; Larsen, H. and Meeus, W. 2008. Attitudes and Integrational Transmission of Parent and Adolescent, *European Psychologist*, 13(2): 83-95.
- Wallerstein, J. and Blakseele, S. 2003. What about kids? New York: Hyption.
- Whightfield, R. 1996. The Economic and Social Coasts of Family Disintigration, Paper Presented at the Family Conference, Rome.
- 1994).
- Poulin, M. and Heckhausen, J. 2007. Stressful Life Events Compromise Control Striving During a Major Life Transition, *Motivation and Emotion*, 31(4): 300-311.
- Prelow, H; Weaver, S. and Swenson, R. 2006. Competence, Self-Esteem and Coping. Efficacy as Mediators of Ecological Risk and Depressive Symptoms in Urban African and European American youth, *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 35(4): 507.
- Ram, B. and Hou, F. 2003. Changes in Family Structure and Child Outcome: Roles of Economic and Familial Resources, *Policy Studies Journal*, 31(3): 309-330.
- Rollin, S; Arnold, A; Solomon, S; Robin, R. and Holland; J. 2008. Astress Management Curriculum for At-risk Youth, *Journal of Humanistic Counselling, Education and Development*, 42(1): 79.
- Seiffge-Krenke, I. 1995. *Stress, Coping, and RelationShips in Adolscence*, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Association.
- Sherer, M. 1982. The Self-Efficacy Scale: Construction and Validation, *Psychological Reports*, 51, 663-671.
- Silbereisen, R. 1995. Childhood Adversities and Delinquency in Early Adolescence: Analysis of Samples from the Former Germanies, *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 51(2): 291-317.
- Simons, R. and Marcussen, K. 1999. Marital Transition, Marital

## Perceived Self-efficacy among Adolescents of Divorced Families in Jordan

Janan Abu-Elayan\*

### ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to identify the level of perceived self-efficacy, of children of divorce. The study sample consisted of (233) students, out of which were (142) females, and (91) males, presenting all children aged (12-18) years old from divorced families, in (34) schools. An equivalent sample non divorced families, were randomly chosen from the same schools and classes of the first group. The study sample responded to the perceived self- efficacy test, which was developed by the researcher. Results revealed no significant differences among adolescents of divorced families, and adolescents of non-divorced families, on the self-efficacy scale. However, the adolescents from non-divorced families showed better results at the persistence, self- trust, and cognitive domains than their peers from non-divorced families. Surprisingly enough, adolescents of divorced families showed better results at the emotional domain, compared to their peers from non-divorced families.

**Keyword:** Divorce, Perceived Self Efficacy, Adolescents.

\* Amman Arab University for Graduate Studies, Jordan. Received on 6/5/2009 and Accepted for Publication on 29/12/2009.