

*

(140)

(71)

(69):

Two)

(ways ANCOVA

:

(Lerner, 2000)

Reisman &)

:

(Kauffman, 1980

(Fleschner & Garnett, 1987)

"

"Dyscalculia"

2011/10/12

2010/6/24

*

/

(0.05 ≥ α)

:

(0.05 ≥ α)

:

:

■

:

■

■

■

:

:

●

:

:

●

:

:

●

:

●

:

●

:

:

(0.05 ≥ α)

:

:

●

:

.(2004) .

:

:

(Howell & Marehead, 1993)

:

▪

▪

.(2004) .

(Mercer, 1997)

▪

:

▪

▪

▪

▪

:

(2003)

(2006)

:

(1993)

(1994)

(1995)

21 12 71 17

Brown &) (Mazzacco,2008) (1991)

(1993)

(×) (-) (+)

(Quinn, 2006

(÷)

(Lerner,2000)

:

0.05 ≥

0.001

(2005)

(236)

.2005/2004

(2009)

(2004)

:

:

:

(2006)

(2004)

:

(68)

(70)

(138)

:

)

(7)

.(%63)

(163)

% 66.9

(1993)

(2003)

+) (÷ × -

(346)

(8)

(30)

(8)

(321)

4 4 :

% 66.4

% 71.65

(1993)

(97)

(1995)

(40)

(256)

:

(9) :

(26)

(17)

(12)

(17)

(1992)

(1994)

(32)

(355)

(12)
(13)

(925)

(Mazzacco,2008)

(1992)

(19)

(100)

(16)

(145)

(346)

(40)

:

:

-

(1991)

-

-

:

(435)

(156) (150) (129)

-

:

(Brown & Quinn,2006)

(1989)

143

5

5

(400)

(Riccomini, 2005)

(Cawley J. et al,1997)

90

79 11 : 3
7 20

(155)

(866)

(14-9)

10

3

(Zhou.X. et al

(Newton,1993)

2006)

: 10 5
10 6
:
: 24

(Gu,2001)

(Lattice)

: .()

(10)

(WRAT3)

(Coker,1991)

-14.1)

(9)

(15.5

(1500)

(Lattice)

/

(32)

:

)

(

:

.1

:

:

.2

(

(24)

:

:

▪

:

▪

:

:

▪

(30)

(0.82)

.(

:

:

.1

:

:

.%95

.2

:

.1

.2

.3

:

:

:

"

(12)

(5)

(7)

"

.(2)

1- (2)

:

2

2

6

6

10

2- (2)

:

1

2

6

6

2

3- (2)

:

:

"

:

"

)

.(

(2)

-1

+1532 <u>6124</u> 7656	(4+2)	(2+3)	+1532 <u>6124</u> 7645
+1532 <u>6124</u> 7656()		9999 ()
+1532 <u>6124</u> 7656	10=6+4 6 2 2 . 9=6+3 6		+1532 <u>6124</u> 25910

-2

+1236 <u>3425</u> 4661			+1236 <u>3425</u> 5651
+1236 <u>3425</u> 4661	2 6		9999) (
+1236 <u>3425</u> 4661	2 6		+1236 <u>3425</u> 41097
			+1236 <u>3425</u> 4547

-3

+2157 <u>1284</u> 3441			+ 2157 <u>1284</u> 33312
+2157 <u>1284</u> 3441			9999 ()
+2157 <u>1284</u> 3441			+ 2157 <u>1284</u> 3459
+2157 <u>1284</u> 3441			+2157 <u>1284</u> 331311
+2157 <u>1284</u> 3441			+2157 <u>1284</u> 0441

- 7469 <u>5143</u> 2326		- 7469 <u>5143</u> 2125	9999 ()
- 7469 <u>5143</u> 2326		- 7469 <u>5143</u> 2353	
- 7469 <u>5143</u> 2326		- 7469 <u>5143</u> 12125	

- 9395 <u>1238</u> 7157		- 9395 <u>1238</u> 7163	9999
- 9395 <u>1238</u> 7157		- 9395 <u>1238</u> 7160	
- 9395 <u>1238</u> 7157		(2=93-95) - 9395 (6=12-38) <u>1238</u> 4	
- 9395 <u>1238</u> 7157		- 9395 <u>1238</u> 8167	

7-(2)

4- (2)

:

:

5 - (2)

8-(2)

)

:

.(

6-(2)

:

9-(2)

)

.(

:

:

-6

- 1853 <u>1365</u> 0488		- 1853 <u>1365</u> 0512	9999
- 1853 <u>1365</u> 0488		- 1853 <u>1365</u> 0518	
- 1853 <u>1365</u> 0488	11	- 1853 <u>1365</u> 05118	

-7

- 8502 <u>4236</u> 4266		- 8502 <u>4236</u> 3266	9999
- 8502 <u>4236</u> 4266		- 8502 <u>4236</u> 4334	
- 8502 <u>4236</u> 4266		- 8502 <u>4236</u> 4034	
- 8502 <u>4236</u> 4266		- 8502 <u>4236</u> 34 4	

.()

-8

× 43 <u>2</u> 86	:	× 43 <u>2</u> 66	
× 43 <u>2</u> 86	:	× 43 <u>2</u> 85	
× 43 <u>2</u> × 8643 <u>2</u> 86	3×2 5 8	× 43 <u>2</u> 85	

.() -9

$\begin{array}{r} \times 26 \\ \underline{3} \\ 78 \end{array}$:	$\begin{array}{r} \times 26 \\ \underline{3} \\ 618 \end{array}$	
$\begin{array}{r} \times 26 \\ \underline{3} \\ 78 \end{array}$:	$\begin{array}{r} \times 26 \\ \underline{3} \\ 69 \end{array}$	
	$9 = 6 + 3$ $6 = 2 \times 3$		
$\begin{array}{r} \times 26 \\ \underline{3} \\ 78 \end{array}$:	$\begin{array}{r} \times 26 \\ \underline{3} \\ 98 \end{array}$	

.() -10

$\begin{array}{r} \times 132 \\ \underline{3} \\ 396 \end{array}$:	$\begin{array}{r} \times 132 \\ \underline{3} \\ 693 \end{array}$	
$\begin{array}{r} \times 132 \\ \underline{3} \\ 396 \end{array}$	3 :	$\begin{array}{r} \times 132 \\ \underline{3} \\ 465 \end{array}$	
	()		

11-(2)

10-(2)

.()

.()

:

:

.() -11

$\begin{array}{r} \times 136 \\ \underline{2} \\ 272 \end{array}$:	$\begin{array}{r} \times 136 \\ \underline{2} \\ 1312 \end{array}$	
---	---	--	--

.() -12

$\begin{array}{r} \times 154 \\ \underline{3} \\ 462 \end{array}$:	$\begin{array}{r} \times 154 \\ \underline{3} \\ 1512 \end{array}$	
---	---	--	--

12-(2)

)

.(

:

(2)

:

(1994) (2004) :
 (2006) (2008) (1993)
 (1993) (1997)
 .(1990) (1991) :
 :
 "

.(3)

(3)

11	%6.98	65	() 9999	11
10	%7.52	70	() 9999	22
8	%7.73	72	() 9999	33
12	%6.66	62	() 9999	44
5	%8.38	78	9999	55
3	%8.81	82	9999	66
1	%10.63	99	9999	77
7	%8.16	76		88
5	%8.38	78		99
4	%8.49	79		110
8	%7.73	72		111
2	%10.53	98		112
%100.00		931	()	

(2004) (3)

(2008) (1997)

(1993) (1994) (1995)

(1991) 9999) : (7)

(%10.63) (99)

) : (12)

(98) (

(%10.53)

9999) : (6)

(82) (

.(%8.81)

" :

(0.05 ≥ α)

"

(1993)

:

()

()

(2009) .(

() (4)

() (4)

(2.74) (7.17)

(6.13)

.(2.69)

) : (4)

(() 9999

() (62)

: (1) (%6.66)

(() 9999)

(0.05 ≥ α) (%6.98) (65)

.(5) 9999) (2)

(5) (70) (()

(0.05 ≥ α) .(%7.52)

()

(5.518) " "

(6.13)

(0.05 ≥ α)

(7.17)

(4)

7.17	6.34	8.00		
2.74	2.86	2.38		
6.13	5.46	6.80		
2.69	2.98	2.21		
6.65	5.90	7.40		
2.76	2.94	2.36		

(5)

*0.020	5.518	38.064	1	38.064	
*0.001	11.416	78.75	1	78.75	
0.724	0.125	0.864	1	0.864	*
		6.898	136	938.171	
			139	1055.85	

*

:

(1991) (1995) (1992) (2003) (1993)

(1993)

≥ α)

" (0.05

(0.125) " " ()
 (0.05 ≥ α) .(4) () (4)

(7.40) (2.36)
 .(2.94) (5.90)
 (5)
 (0.05 ≥ α)

" " ()
 : α) (11.416)
 : " (0.05 ≥
 " (7.40)
 .(5.90)
 : :
 : :
 :

(5) (7) :

(1989)

(2003)

(1993)

≥ α)

"
 (0.05

-
-
-

(5)
 (0.05 ≥ α)

•

•

•

•

•

-

-

-

-

%95

•

•

1991

1986

1992

1993

.47 1

2004

1994

1995

()
1993

1992

1 " 2004

2009

2003

2005

Diagnosis, London: Tylor and Francis Ltd.

Gu, W. 2001. The Lattice Method Used in Teaching Multiplication with Whole Numbers and Decimals to Students with Learning Disabilities. US. ERIC: ED457000. (1989)

Howell, K. W., Fox. S. L., & Morehead, M. K. 1993. Curriculum - based evaluation: Teaching and decision making (2nd ed.). Pacific Grove, CA: Brooks/Cole. 2006

Lerner, J. 2000. Learning Disabilities, Theories Diagnosis and Teaching Strategies. U.S.A., Houghton Mifflin Company. 1993

Mazzocco, M. M. M.; Devlin, K. T. ; McKenney, S. J. 2008. Is it a Fact? Timed Arithmetic Performance of Children With Mathematical Learning Disabilities (MLD) Varies as a Function of How MLD is Defined. *Developmental Neuropsychology*, 33(3), 318–344. 2004

Mercer, C. 1997. Students With Learning Disabilities. U.S.A., Prentice - Hall. .114-81 (18) 71

Newton, D. 1993. Working with Numbers. Adult Literacy and Basic Skills Unit, Kingsbourne House, Holborn, London. P229-231. ERIC: ED359326.

Reisman, F., & Kauffman, S. 1980. Teaching Mathematics Children with Special Needs. Columbus: Charles E. Merrill.

Riccomini, P. J. 2005. Identification and remediation of systematic error patterns in subtraction. *Learning Disability Quarterly*. Vol 28.

Zhou X., Wang Y., Wang L. and Wang B. 2006. Kindergarten children’s representation and understanding of written number Symbols. *East China Normal University, China. Early Child Development and Care*. 176,(1), 33–45.

Babbitt, B. C. 1990. Error Patterns in Problem Solving. 13pp. ERIC:ED 338500.

Brown, G., Quinn, R. J. 2006. Algebra Students' Difficulty with Fractions: an Error Analysis. *Australian Mathematics Teacher*. 62, (4), 28-40.

Cawley, J. F., et al. 1997. Arithmetic Computation Abilities of Students with Learning Disabilities: Implications for Instruction. *Learning Disabilities Research and Practice*, 11,(4), 230-37.

Coker, D. R. 1991. The Message is in The Algorithm: Diagnosing Student Error Patterns in Mathematics. *Education*. 111, (3), 358-361.

Fleischner, J. E., & Carnett, K. 1987. Arithmetic Difficulties, In: Kaval, K. A.; et al. *Handbook of Learning Disabilities*. Vol. 1, Dimensions and

Common Errors in Mathematics, Its Patterns for Students with Learning Disabilities in Mathematics

Awneya Ata Sawalha *

ABSTRACT

The aim of this study is to identify the most common mathematical errors and its patterns for students with learning disabilities in mathematics in resources room. The sample consists of 140 male and female students: 69 from 4th grade and 71 from 3rd grade. To achieve this goal the researcher prepared and applied a mathematical diagnostic test to the sample, and there was individual interviewes. And to answer the questions of this study averages, standard deviations and two ways ANCOVA were used.

The results showed there are common errors in fundamental concepts and algorithm and facts of addition, subtraction and multiplication. There is a statistically significant difference in common errors towards 3rd grade, and towards male sex, and there is no statistically significant difference in interaction between grade and sex.

Keywords: Common Mathematical Errors, Resources' Room, Student with Learning Disabilities in Mathematics.

* Faculty of Special Education, Ahliyya Amman University, Jordan. Received on 24/6/2010 and Accepted for Publication on 12/10/2011.