

*

317

94

:

-

-

:

(3)

1994

()

(1995)

2010/9/28

2010/3/14

*

/

.(Brandon,2006)

.(2006)

"(2002)

:

"

(2003)

(2007)

:

-

-

-

.(2003)

-

-

-

(Lawrence , 2005)

(SWOT)

:

(Weaknesses)

(Strengths)

(Opportunities)

.(Threats)

-

-

-

-

(2004)

: " ; -

)"

(1999·194

" ; -

) "

(1994

() .

" ; -

() ."

" ; - :

(2 2006)

: :

440

. 2009 - 2008

(11)"

" ; -

" (1998)

"(2007)

." -

."

:

(1998) : (Isaxanli, 2006)

-

-

-

-

(2003)

-

-

-

-

() 1926

(Keefe and Howard, 1997)

1939 :

1939 (2) -

1946 -

1964 (Johnson, 2003)

(2000)

: (1999) Lee,)

(2006

-

-

-

()

(2004)

:

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

(2009)

:

:

(1999)

-

-

.()

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

(2003)

:(Perspective)

-

(2001)

Dalin

:

:

-

:

:

-

(Chandler)

(2005)

:

-

:

-

Thomas

(Solomon, 2004)

.(2007)

(Mintzberg. H)

:

(Quinn) (1995)

(Ghoshal)

:

(2007)

:(Plan)

-

(Bryson and

:(Poly)

-

John, 2004)

:(Pattern)

-

(2006)

:(Position)

-

.()

(2007)

:
-

(2007)

:
-

:() -

()

:
-

" (1995)

"

(354)

(100)

:

" (Nair, 2002)

" (2003)

(52)

()

(270) (110)

" (2004)

(Regt and Weenink, 2005)

"

(37)
(376)

"
"

(20)

(126) (59)
(448)

(448)

(Ross and Gowe, 2001)

(10)

"
"
(10)

" (Lee, 2006)

"()

(Pisel,2008)

(440) 2009/2008

(68)

(317) (249)
(1)

.1

173	%66	142	%69	31	%83	215	%17	45	260	
91	%86	70	%64	21	%71	81	%29	33	114	
30	%75	21	%100	9	%76	28	%24	9	37	
24	%100	17	%58	7	%59	17	%41	12	29	
317	%78	249	%21	68	%77	341	%23	99	440	

)

:

(94)

.(

(4) (5)
(1) (2) (3)

.2

(Content Validity)

(12) (126)

0.713	0.737		
0.700	0.767		
0.797	0.853		
0.858	0.821		
0.763	0.737		
0.745	0.796		
0.711	0.744		

(94)
(Cronbach Alpha)

(2)

:

(ANOVA)

Tukey

5 1
) 3

(1.33) (3 4)
(2.33-1) (3.66-2.34)
(5-3.67)

(3)
(3)

3.17

0.39

3.09

0.54

2.50 " " 2.61 " 0.70
 0.75 " " 0.43 2.57
 2.18 " " 0.58 2.51 " " 0.75
 .032 2.66 0.75
 .3

	1	0.39	3.17		6
	2	0.54	3.09		7
	3	0.70	2.61		4
	4	0.43	2.57		1
	5	0.75	2.51		3
	6	0.40	2.50		5
	7	0.58	2.18		2
		0.32	2.66		

.054 3.09
 : :
 " (42) (6) :
 " " (78) (4)
 0.99 2.92
 " (37) 4.17
 " (73) 1.24
 1.03 2.23 " 2.19
 1.21 2.19
 .070 2.61 3.17
 : : .039
 " (3) (7) :
 " (89) (5)
 4.18 (9) 0.96 3.91
 " (94) 1.12
 1.65 " " 2.35
 .043 0.18 1.07 2.56

.4

	1	1.36	4.17		78
	2	1.35	3.80		79
	3	1.35	3.64		75
	4	1.21	3.53		82
	5	1.38	3.45		83
	6	1.36	3.44		77
	7	1.47	3.37		80
	8	1.15	3.36		81
	9	1.49	3.10		87
	10	1.19	2.97		72
	11	1.42	2.94	()	74
	12	1.31	2.85		84
	13	1.22	2.84		71
	14	1.02	2.81		70
	15	1.20	2.71		76
	16	1.28	2.67		86
	17	1.41	2.65		85
	18	1.09	2.63		69
	19	1.21	2.19		73

.5

	1	1.12	3.91		89
	2	1.11	3.89		88
	3	1.12	3.55		91
	4	0.98	3.50		90
	5	1.25	2.65		92
	6	1.22	2.63		93
	7	1.07	2.35		94

.6

	1	0.99	2.92		42
	2	0.94	2.86		41
	3	1.05	2.81		40
	4	1.13	2.70		36
	5	1.22	2.52		43
	6	0.99	2.49		38
	7	1.01	2.37		39
	8	1.03	2.23		37

.7

	1	0.96	4.18		3
	2	0.79	3.62		1
	3	1.35	3.41		4
	4	1.19	3.16		5
	5	1.20	3.15		6
	6	1.22	2.59		7
	7	1.10	2.45		11
	8	1.34	2.17		15
	9	0.94	2.14		12
	10	0.91	2.10		13
	11	1.23	2.08	.()	14
	12	0.95	2.02		8
	13	0.87	1.99		2
	14	0.92	1.94		10
	15	0.81	1.65		9

" (30)

: :

1.05

2.18

" (34)

(8)

.0.75

2.51

1.07

2.97

.8

	1	1.07	2.97		34
	2	1.15	2.93		35
	3	0.94	2.72		33
	4	1.25	2.69		28
	5	1.13	2.44		32
	6	1.12	2.35		27
	7	1.09	2.32		26
	8	1.44	2.26		31
	8	1.15	2.26		29
	9	1.05	2.18		30

		:		:	:
	" (25)	(10)		" (46)	(9)
		"		"	
	1.16	3.50	1.04	3.74	
		" (21)		" (58)	
1.65		"		"	
		0.97	.0.40	1.80	
	2.18		2.50		
		.0.58		.0.40	

.9


	1	1.04	3.74		46
	2	1.26	3.58		44
	3	1.27	3.50		54
	4	1.24	3.33		48
	5	1.09	3.23		50
	6	1.14	3.08		45
	7	1.27	2.89		64
	8	0.97	2.71		56
	9	1.25	2.60		55
	10	1.17	2.59		62
	11	1.28	2.58		49

	12	1.19	2.29		52
	13	1.15	2.27		47
	14	1.02	2.24		51
	15	1.10	2.22		65
	16	0.97	2.16		63
	17	0.94	2.13		66
	17	0.92	2.13		67
	18	1.01	2.04		57
	19	1.22	2.03		53
	20	0.92	1.91		68
	21	1,21	1,85		59
	21	1.07	1.85		60
	21	1.01	1.85		61
	22	1.00	1.80		58

.10

	1	1.16	3.50		25
	2	1.22	2.41		16
	3	1.17	2.29		22
	4	1.42	2.21		23
	5	1.22	2.17		17
	6	1.11	2.04		19
	7	1.16	2.00		18
	8	1.27	1.89		24
	9	0.98	1.66		20
	10	0.97	1.65		21

(1)



(1)

) :

(

(

(SWOT)

:

:

:

:

-

.

-

-

:

.

-

.

)

-

(

.

-

:

-

.

:

-

.

-

.

:

-

.

-

.

-

.

)
(

:

-

.(...

(
(SWOT)

- 2006
- 1
- 2002
- 2003
- 9) (2) 23.
- (29
- 1998
- (127)
- (64- 52)
- 2001
- 1999
- 2007
- 2000
- 1994
- 455- 437 (2) 27.
- 4 -2
- 1994 (3)
- 2007
- 1994
- Bryson, J. and M. 2004. *Strategic Planning for Public and Non – Profit Organization:A Guide to Strengthening and Sustaining Organizational Achievement*. (Revised Edition) Son Francisco: Jossey –Bass Publishers.
- 22
- 1995
- .3413-3377 (6)
- 2005
- Ed. Brandon. 2006. Review of Private Education and Public Policy in Latin America. *International Journal of Education and Development Using Information and Communication Technology*. (JEDICT) 2 (3), 131-133.
- 2009
- Isaxanli ,H. 2006. Certification and Private School Teachers Moving to Public.*Department of Education*. September. <http://nces.edu.gov/pubs2006/2006081>.
- 24-23 .()
- " 2004
- Johnson, J. 2003. Cultivating Leaders. *CDT Brief*.6 (4). [Http://Sec7.html www.cdnl.nus.edu.Sg/brief/V6n4 \](Http://Sec7.html www.cdnl.nus.edu.Sg/brief/V6n4).
- "
- Keefe, J. W. Eugene R. H. 1997. The School as a learning Organization. *NASSP Bulletin*. 81.(589). 35-44.
- .2007
- Lawrence, Di. B. 2005. Educational Polarization in Brisbane Last Advantaged and the Myth of Choice. *The Australian Educational Researcher*. 32 (3 (63-82.
- .www. Petra. com 2007 28 .
- " 2004
- Lee, M. H. 2006. The development of private school education in Hong Kong and Singapore.A comparative study.*KJEP*.3(.2).123-150.
-)
- 1999
- : .1 (1997
- Nair , A. E. 2002. Strategic Plans and Principals, needs For Control. *Journal of School Leadership*. 10. (4). 332-344.
- 2006
- Pisel, K. P. 2008. A Strategic Planning Process Model for Distance Education.*Online Journal of Distance Learning*
- www.Saufayu.com. .
- 2007

- Ross, M. D., Gowe, R. 2001. *Private School Administration: A new Challenge From Graduate Training Programs*. ERIC, ED 457556.
- Solomon ,G. 2004. Drafting a Customized Tech Plan: Throw out yesterdays Creaky model.*Technology and Learning*. 24 (7): 28 (EJ 70094).
- Administration, Vol XI, No II. University of West Georgia, Distance Education Center.
- Regt , A.de and Weenink, D. 2005. When negotiation fails: private education as a disciplinary strategy. *Journal of Education Policy*. 20.(1). (59–80).Amsterdam School for Social Science Research, The Netherlands.

Deveploring A Strategy for Controlling Education Problems at Jordanian Private Schools

*Hani Abed El-Rahman Al-Tawil and Lameeha Jawdat Al-Manseer **

ABSTRACT

The study aimed at developing a strategy to control the problems of education in private schools in Jordan, The selected sample included (317) male and female principals. A questionnaire prepared to identify the problems facing private schools, consisted of (94) items, represent the seven areas of problems facing private schools. The data collected by using the statistical study, followed by developing a strategy leading to the final form of the strategy. The results of the study revealed the following: the problems facing private education in private schools showed an average degree in all areas except the ownership of the school which showed a low degree. In light of study results. The following recommendations were presented:

- To adopt the strategy proposed in this study to control the problems of education in private schools in Jordan.
- Studies adopted by Ministry of Education to assess the effectiveness of private schools, The degree of emplaning educational services provided by schools, and knowing the trends and ideas of the private school owners, towards ways to improving private education.

Keywords: Educational Problems, Control of Educational Problems, Private Schools.

* Faculty of Educational Sciences, University of Jordan. Received on 14/3/2010 and Accepted for Publication on 28/9/2010.