

*

(20)

(3 2 1)

()

:

.(%93.3)

.(%94.8)

.(%94.2)

.(%91.3)

.(%95.2)

.(%91.8)

:

.(2001)

*

2008/5/7

.2009/2/23

.(Hadhod,2004)

/

2011 ©

:

.(2005) ...

.(2001)

:

.(2000)

.(2004)

.(2000)

.(2002)

:

)

.(2000

.(2006)

.(2000)

:

:

: *

.(2006)

: *

:

.(2000)

.(2008)

: *

-

)

(

:

.

(Troutman & Palomo,1983)

:

:

:

(Delors et al., 1996)

"

:

"

:

.1

:

.2

.3

.4

.5

.6

:

(20)

(67)

·
" :
·
"

:

(1998)

(49)

:

)

(2000)

·

:

(2001)

:

(2001)

:

150

(19)

(37)

(75)

(2006)

:

(20)

(1)

- : .1
- .2
- .3
- .4
- .5
- .6

(1)

(McMillan & Schumacher)

(1)

%50	10	
%50	10	
%100	20	

McMillan)

.(& Schumacher,S,1997

:

.2008/2007

:

(3)

(53) =
 .(60) = (3) (20)=
 %88.3 60/53 =
 %85
 (%84.9 -%70)
 %70

%.100

(2)

(3)

:

(2)

(2)

(%93.3)

(%100)

(%85)

()

(3)

(2)

	*		
%100	60		1
%91.7	55		2
%95	57		3
%96.7	58		4
%100	60		5
%85	51		6
%93.3	56		7
%93.3	56		8
%85	51		9
%93.3			

(3+2+1)

(20)

*

()

(3)

"

"

	*		
%93.3	56		1
%100	60		2
%93.3	56		3
%88.3	53		4
%100	60		5
%100	60		6
%93.3	56		7
%93.3	56		8
%86.7	52		9
%94.2			

(3·2·1)

(20)

*

()

(3)

(%94.2)

(%100)

" "

(86.7)

" "

" "

"

" "

"

:

:

(4)

" "

(4)

(%94.8)

" "

(%100)

" "

(5) (%88.3) "

" "

(4)

	*		
%100	60		1
%100	60		2
%88.3	53		3
%88.3	53		4
%100	60		5
%96.7	58		6
%91.7	55		7
%95	57		8
%93.3	56		9
%94.8			

(3·2·1)

(20)

() *

(5)

	*		
%88.3	53		1
%100	60		2
%96.7	58		3
%100	60		4
%91.7	55		5
%91.7	55		6
%100	60		7
%93.3	56		8
%95	57		9
%95.2			

(3.2.1)

(20)

*

()

(5)

(%95.2)

(%100)

(%88.3)

"

"

"

(%78.3)

()

"

:

:

(6)

"

"

(6)

:

(7)

"

(%100)

(%91.3)

"

"

"

(6)

	*		
%100	60		1
%90	54		2
%91.7	55		3
%93.3	56		4
%86.7	52		5
%90	54		6
%78.3	47	()	7
%100	60		8
%91.7	55		9
%91.3			

(3·2·1)

(20)

*

()

(7)

	*		
%88.3	53		1
%100	60		2
%76.7	46		3
%93.3	56		4

	*		
%93.3	56	.	5
%100	60	.	6
%78.3	47	.	7
%96.7	58	.	8
%100	60	.	9
%91.8			

(3·2·1)

(20)

*

()

(7)

(%91.8)

(%100)

(%76.7)

:

.1

"

.2

.3

.4

1998

2000

1998
2001

"

"

2008

2001

2005

2002

2000

2000

"

"

2001

.2000

2000

.99-70 (47)2

2004

1421 (64)

20 (13)

. 2000

2001

Delors, Jacques, et al. 1996. Learning: the Treasure Within. (Report to UNESCO of International Commission on Educational for the 21 st Century): UNESCO.

2006

Hadhod, D. 2004. Education and Human Development in Kuwait with Reference to the Challenges, *Educational Journal*, (72): 11-45.

1998

Kearns, D. 1993. Towards a New Generation of American Schools, *phi Delta Kappan*, 74: 773-776.

1998 19-17

Mcmillan,S and Schumacher. 1997. Research in Education. NewYork: Longman.

2000

Sweeney, M.E. 1994. How to plan A Charter School, *Educational LeaderShip*, 52(1): 46-47.

.2000

"

"

Troutman&Palomo ,B (1983). Identifying Future trends in Curriculum Planning, *Educational Leadership*, 141: 49.

2006

Features of the Future School as Perceived by Educational Experts in Jordan

*Mohammed Saleem AlZboon **

ABSTRACT

The study aimed at identifying the features of future schools from the viewpoint of the expert educators. The research sample consisted of (20) experts and specialists from the Ministry of Education and university faculty members. The study adopted the descriptive analytical approach by using direct interview, whereby many questions were raised covering the six areas of study related to the enquiry. Replies were tabulated and were assigned numbers (3,2, and 1) representing the degree of identifying the features of the future school as (high, medium, and low), respectively. Findings of the study revealed that: The agreement of the sample study on the basic features for future school related to its philosophy and goals reached a percentage of (93.3%). The basic features of the future teacher reached (94.2 %).the basic features for the school of the hit (94.8%). The basic features for future school curriculum amounted to (95.2%).the future teaching and educational management amounted to (91.3%). The school-building infrastructure hit (91.8%).

In light of the previous findings, the study recommended the need to reconsider the educational environment both in terms of the philosophy of education, goals, teachers, students, curriculum, school management and building in which classes are to be held, in order to be in line with the requirements of the era of modern technology and to start designing new mechanisms that suit the realities of the school of the future school for educational quality control and its incorporation in the educational programs.

Keywords: Future School, Educational Experts.

* Department of Educational Administration and Foundation, Faculty of Education Sciences, University of Jordan.
Received on 7/5/2008 and Accepted for Publication on 23/2/2009.