

*

8

()

()

)
(
%75

spss

.%50

()

:

"

: (2000)

"

"

(Koltyn.et

all, 1991)

)

"

(2003

(1995

)

"

"

(2002)

.2008/3/13

2007/8/28

*

/

-

-

.)

)

) (1992) (2003

.(2000) (1992

()

()

-1

) -

.(

-2

()

:

) (2003) (2003)

) (2003) (2003)

: (2000) (2000) (2001

(1995)

(1991) (1995)

(25)

:

:
 : (%32) (8)
 : (1)
 .2007/6/17 2007/6/16

(1)

8=

SPSS

2.77	25.37	
2.32	175.5	
4.54	79.12	

:

.1
.2
.3
.4
.5

.()

.()

-
-

(2003)

-1

(2004)

(1998)

(2003)

: (2000)

-
-
-

(2003)

-2

-16

2007/6/17

()

(2003)

-3

48

-
-
-
-
-

(2003)

-4

12 -9

29

(1995) -9 (2001) -5

) 80

) (

.(: (2000) -6

(1991) -10

(2000) -7

15

8

T

(1991) (2003) (1995) -8

) (1995)

.(1995

) (2000) (2003) (2003) ()

) (2000) (41)

(2003) (2003) (2000) 19

) (2001) 23

(2)) (1995) (1991 (2000
 - 3 + (1995)
 3 (2003)
 (2) (2001) (2003)
 () (2000)
 () %75
 (1.51) (0.174)
 (2003) (2003)
 (2.36)) (2003) (2003)
 (2001
 . (2000) (1991)
 %75 .()
 ()
 2.89
 %75
 .%75

(2)
 ()
 8= %75

0.867	0.174	0.34	11.22	11.25	1.51	
0.174	1.51	9.80	84.75	90	1.02	
0.023	2.89	6.27	27.50	33.93	1.47	

.236 = (0.05 ≥ α)

(3)
()

8= %50

0.507	0.699	0.308	7.42	7.50	0.98	
0.959	0.053	6.62	60.12	60	0.84	
0.229	1.319	8.56	18.62	22.62	1.11	

.236 = (0.05 ≥ α)

2.36

()

(3)

+

3 - 3

(3)

()

%50

()

%50

0.053

0.699

1.319

(4)

8=

%75

7.6	7	7	0.15	1
5.4	8	8	0.25	2
8.87	2	2	0.04	3
5.8	16	16	0.4	4
7.98	6	6	0.13	5
8.85	2	2	0.05	6
7.45	16	16	0.75	7
7.65	15	15	0.1	8
1.00	0.795	0.778	0.881	
0.00	**0.018	**0.023	**0.004	

.0643 = 0.05

(4)

%75

.05

0.778

0.881

0.795

(5)

8=

%50

7.6	9	6	0.15	1
5.4	9.5	8	0.3	2
8.87	-3	2	0.05	3
5.8	10.5	8	0.5	4
7.98	8	5	0.12	5
8.85	5	4	0.1	6
7.45	9	7	0.27	7
7.65	10	7	0.5	8
1.00	0.802	0.795	0.952	
0.00	**0.017	**0.018	**0.000	

.0.643 = 0.05

(5)

%50

.%50

.3

0.952

0.802

0.795

.0.05

:

)

.1

(

:

.1

.2

.%75

.2

2000 2002
1992
2000 2003
2003 .3 12
1992
(30) (20)
2001
2000
2003 2003
2003
1995 1991

Koltyn, K.F., O., Coner, P.J. and Morgar, W.P.1991: Perception of effort in female and male competitive swimmers, International Journal of Sport Medicine, 12.

1995

...

The Relationship between some Kinesthetic Variables and Performance Level to the Athlete Blind in Shot Pot

*Faleh Sultan Abu Eid**

ABSTRACT

This study was to determine the relationship between some Kinesthetic Variables and Performance level to the blind athletics in shot pot. The study sample included eight members of the blind athletics in the Baralambic Jordanian Comete. Researcher used the descriptive curriculum relevance the purpose of the study. The data were statistical processing using statistical packages spss for the following treatments (arithmetic mean, standard deviation, testing (t) of the difference between the averages, Spearman correlation coefficient). The results of the study showed high correlation between Kinesthetic Variables and Performance level by 75% as well as the presence of a correlation between the level of skills and awareness among the activist sense of 50%. The researcher recommends to development of the sense of awareness to the blind activist because of the importance of a strong relationship with the skill level, as well as conducting similar studies on other categories of persons with special needs in various sports.

Keywords: Performance Level, Kinesthetic Variables, Blind. Shot Pot.

* The Hashemite University, Zarqa, Jordan. Received on 28/8/2007 and Accepted for Publication on 13/3/2008.