

*

:

-
-
-

(20)

(Team Teaching)

($0.05=\alpha$)

:

.1

)

(

(1999)

)

.2006/2/16

2005/7/23

*

)
(Abu-Hola, 1997; Abu-Hola, 2004; 1989)

(Ely, 2001)

(Ely, 2001; Marschark, Lang and Albertini, 2002)

(19-18)

(9-8)

(Marschark, Lang and Albertini, 2002)

(Schwartz,

(Ely, 2001; Marschark,

.1987)

.Lang and Albertini, 2002)

(Wallace, 1986)

:

(Jackson, Paul and Smith, 1997; Jelinklewis and Jackson,
2000; 2001)

-

-

:

-

(Deafness)

)

(

(Schwartz,

(Hardness of Hearing)

.1987; Wallance, 1986)

.(1991)

.(1993)

(Congenital Deafness) .1

(Adventitious Deafness) .2

.(Lang and Steely, 2003)

(Prelingual Hearing Impairment) .1

(Post-lingual Hearing Impairment) .2

.(1991)

(1991)

(Abu-Hola, 1997 1989)

(5-4)

(Lang and Steely, 2003)

:

·
·

- .1
- .2
- .3
- .4

Abu-Hola, 1997; 1998 1989)

(Johnson and Johnson, 1994; Slavin, 1990;

(1999)

(Abu-Hola, 1997)

(Dewey)

(Lonning, 1993)

- .1

(McIntosh et al., 1994)	.	.2
:	.	.3
	.(1998)	.4

(Barman et al., 1992)

(Brickman and Workman,

1995)

(Barman et al., 1993)

(Hardison, 1995)

()

(23)

:

(26)

(Egelston-Dodd

–

and Himmelstein, 1996)

(Hardison, 1995)

.(Barman et al., 1992; 1993)

(Gillespie, 1997)

(McIntosh et al., 1994)

.(Brickman and Workman, 1995)

(Lang and Steely, 2003)

:

)

.(1993

(Gillespie,
1997; Schwartz, 1987; Wallace, 1986; Egelston-Dodd
and Himmelstein, 1996)

(Lang, Stinson,
Karayauch, Liu and Basile, 1999)

(Grasha,1996)

(El-Zraigat, 2002) %1

.2

(5-4)

(Abu-Hola, 1997)

(Hardness of

(Deafness)

Hearing)

(Team Teaching)

:

(4)

:

:

.1

:

"

"

.2

:

.3

.(4)

-

)

(

.2003/2002

%90

%92

.3

8-7

.4

10)

(

:

(Sign Language)

:

-

-

()

-

:

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

:

-

-

-

-

.%90

.(0.82)

()

(2)
(14.1)

(14)

(2)

(20)

.4

(1)

()

(1)

()

	()	()				
0.05	0.11	1.72	9	2.00	14.00	
			9	2.00	14.10	

(20)

(2)

()

	()	()				
0.05	2.00	1.72	9	2.40	16.60	
			9	2.60	14.40	

(20)

(2)

(0.05 = α)

:

:

()

() (2)

()

.(3)

(0.05= α)

(Wallace, 1986; :
Schwartz, 1987; McIntosh et al., 1994; Brickman and
Workman, 1995; Egelston-Dodd and Himmelstein, 1996;
.Abu-Hola, 1997; Abu-Hola, 2004)

= α)

()

(1.96=)

.(0.05

(%80)

(%70)

(%25)

%20 %15

(%20)

(%15)

.(3)

(3)

()

()					
0.559	15	25	25	25	
0.714	25	40	40	40	
0.976	60	80	80	80	
0.976	60	80	80	80	
0.976	60	80	80	80	
0.976	50	70	70	70	
0.559	50	60	60	60	
0.714	45	60	60	60	
0.714	45	60	60	60	

1993; Egelston-Dodd and Himmelstein, 1996; Lang and Steely, 2003)

%40 %30

(Schwartz,1987; Barman et al., 1992 and

(4)

(4)

		.1
		.2
)	.3
	.((
-	-	.4
		:
		-
-	-	-
)
		(
-	-	-
		-
-	-	-
)	-
	.(-

-	-	-
-) (-
-	-	-5 :
-	-	-
-	()	-6 :
-	-	-
-	-	-

-1

-2

-3

-4

(4)

(Wallace,1986; Schwartz,1987; Merterns,1991; ;
Barman et al., 1993; Brickman and Workman, 1995;
Hardison, 1995; Gillespie, 1997; Ely, 2001; Marschark,
.Lang and Albertini, 2002; Lang and Steely, 2003)

(Student-Centered Learning)

.(2003)

.5

(Novelty)

- Internet Society: Advance in Learning, Commerce and Society*, Skiathos, Greece, 109-118.
- Barman, C.R. et al. 1992. Science and the Learning Cycle. *Perspectives in Education and Deafness*, 11(1): 18-21. 1991
- Barman, C.R. et al. 1993. The Learning Cycle: A Basic Tool for Teachers, Too. *Perspectives in Education and Deafness*, 11(4): 7-11. 1989
- Brickman, B. and Workman, S. 1995. Enhancing the Learning Environment for Deaf Students in the Science Classroom. *Journal of Science for Persons with Disabilities*, 3(1): 40-43. 1993
- Egelston-Dodd, J. and Himmelstein, J. 1996. A Constructivist Paradigm in Science Education for Students Who Are Deaf and Hard-of-hearing. *Journal of Science for Persons with Disabilities*, 4 (1): 20-27. 1991
- Ely, D. 2001. *Facts and Fallacies about the Future of Technology in Education of the Deaf*. Paper Presented at the Instructional Technology and Education of the Deaf Symposium. National Technical Institute for the Deaf, Rochester, NY. 1998
- El-Zraigat, I. 2002. *Hearing Impaired Students in Jordan*. Published Doctoral Dissertation. Lund University, Sweden. 1999
- Gillespie, S. 1997. Deaf Students in Science Class. *Perspectives in Education and Deafness*, 16(1): 2-3.
- Grasha, A. F. 1996. *Teaching with Styles: A Practical Guide to Enhancing Learning by Understanding Teaching and Learning Styles*. Pittsburg: Alliance Publishers.
- Hardison, L. E. 1995. Young Children, Communication and Learning. *Volta Review*, 97(5): 85-94.
- Abu-Hola, I. 1997. *Jordanian Primary Students' Science Achievement and Attitudes Towards Science Stemming from Small Cooperative –Group and Lecture–Demonstration Teaching Methods*. Unpublished Doctoral thesis, Liverpool University, UK.
- Abu-Hola, I. 2004. Biological Science Misconceptions Amongst Teachers and Primary Students in Jordan: Diagnosis and Treatment. **In:** *Proceedings of the*

- M. 1999. Learning Styles of Deaf College Students and Instructors' Teaching Emphasis. *Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education*, 4, 16-27.
- Lonning, R. A. 1993. The Effects of Cooperative Learning Strategies on Student Verbal Interactions and Achievement During Conceptual Change Instruction in 10th Grade General Science. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 30(9): 1087-1101.
- Marschark, M. Lang, H. G. and Albertini, J.A. 2002. *Educating Deaf Students: From Research to Practice*. New York, Oxford University Press.
- McIntosh, R. A. et al. 1994. Making Science Accessible to Deaf Students: The Need for Science Literacy and Conceptual Teaching. *American Annals of the Deaf*, 139(5): 480-484.
- Schwartz, W. 1987. *Teaching Science and Mathematics to At-Risk Students*. Teachers College: Columbia University, USA.
- Slavin, R. E. 1990. Research on Cooperative Learning Consensus and Controversy. *Educational Leadership*, 47(4): 52-54.
- Wallace, J. M. 1986. Nurturing an "I Can" Attitude in Mathematics. *Equity and Choice*, 11, 35-40.
- Jackson, D. W, Paul. P. V. and Smith, J. C. 1997. Prior Knowledge and Reading Comprehension Ability of Deaf and Hard-of-hearing Adolescents, *Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education*, 2, 177-184.
- Jelineklewis, M. S. and Jackson, D. W. 2000. Television Literacy: Comprehension of Program Content Using Closed-Captions for the Deaf. *Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education*, 6, 43-53.
- Jelineklewis, M. S. and Jackson, D. W. 2000. Preparing to Watch TV: A Training Module for Accessing Captions for Students who Are Deaf. *Proceeding of the 44th Annual Meeting of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society, USA*, 2, 113-116.
- Jelineklewis, M. S. and Jackson, D. W. 2001. Television Literacy: Captions for the Deaf. *Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education*, 7, 43-53.
- Johnson and Johnson. 1994. *Learning Together or Alone: Cooperative, Competitive and Individualistic Learning*. 4th Edition, Massachusetts: Allyn and Bacon.
- Lang, H. G. and Steely, D. 2003. Web-based Science Instruction for Deaf Students: What Research Says to Teacher. *Instructional Science*, 31, 277-298.
- Lang, H.G., Stinson, M.S, Kavanagh, F, Liu, Y. and Basile,

The Effectiveness of Cooperative Learning Strategy in Deaf Children's Acquisition of Scientific Concepts, Treating Their Alternative Concepts and Improving Their Learning Practices in Science

*Imfadi R. Abu Hola**

ABSTRACT

This study was conducted to answer the following questions:

1. What is the effectiveness of using the cooperative learning strategy in deaf children's acquiring of scientific concepts comparing it with the ordinary strategy?
2. What is the effect of cooperative leaning strategy on treating the science misconceptions carried by deaf children?
3. What is the effectiveness of the cooperative learning strategy in changing and improving classroom learning practices among deaf children?

The sample of the study consisted of 20 deaf second grade male and female children divided into two groups. The experimental group was taught using the cooperative learning strategy and the control group was taught using the traditional learning strategy. Two female teachers volunteered to team-teach the two groups for eight weeks. Data were collected and quantitatively and qualitatively analyzed. The study revealed that the cooperative group outperformed the control one with regard to the level of acquisition of scientific concepts ($\alpha = 0.05$). Analysis of the qualitative data revealed that the experimental group showed some promising behaviors such as interests in learning, immersing in cooperative activities, less competition and less violence and acceptance for correcting mistakes by other colleagues. The researcher recommended deep revision and modification of teaching and learning strategies implemented in deaf children classes to meet their social and emotional needs.

Keywords: Deaf Children, Cooperative Learning, Concept Acquisition, Alternative Concepts, Science, Learning Practices.

* Department of Curricula and Instruction, Faculty of Educational Sciences, University of Jordan. Received on 23/7/2005 and Accepted for Publication on 16/2/2006.