

*

(20)

(%21.27)

(10)

(10)

(SPSS)

()

)

(

(....)

(1999)

.2004/4/26

2003/4/10

*

(1996)

(1987)

(1986)
(1978)

(2000)

(1994)

)
(...

-(1990)

.(1999)

-1

-

-2

(2001)

-3

(24)

/

-1

-2

-3

(2000)

(20)

()

(14-13)

:

-

:

-

/2002-2001

*

(8)

:

*

(15)

*

(3)

*

:

-

(30)

*

*

(1998)

(18)

(1999)

(1998)

(4)

)

(

(27)

(18- 10)

(4)

)

)

(

(

(1998)

(

)

)

(28)

(14)

(14)

(

)

(1998)

(1998)

(35)

(45)

(15)

(1998)

:

(1)

4.24	3.92	163	163.40	()
6.36	8.01	153.3	151	()
5.30	7.29	44.80	47.4	()
2.60	5.20	95.10	95.80	()

(2)

()

	0.82	0.23	0.40	()
	0.40	0.87-	2.30-	()
	0.41	0.85	2.60	()
	0.66	0.44	0.70	()

.2.26 = (0.05 ≥ α)

() *

(3)

	0.52	0.65-	0.51-	1.25	1.70	25.44	24.93		150
	0.23	1.27	0.05	0.06	0.10	2.27	2.32		
	0.11	1.9	0.17	0.15	0.14	1.62	1.79		
	0.15	1.55	0.47	0.61	0.81	9.11	9.58		
	0.13	1.65	0.52	1.09	0.72	11.20	11.72		
	0.60	0.53	0.11	0.31	0.48	5.59	5.70		
	0.65	0.46-	0.20-	1.05	0.56	3.84	3.64		
	0.18	1.43	0.23	0.30	0.38	3.47	3.70		
	0.27	1.17-	0.19-	0.32	0.33	4.89	4.70		30
	0.81	0.23	0.07	0.67	0.50	9.75	9.82)	60
								(
	0.38	0.91-	0.24-	0.55	0.48	5.57	5.33		30
	0.86	0.17-	0.40-	6.66	4.37	17	16.60		
	0.47	0.73	0.72	1.88	2.02	7.74	8.46		(5)

.2.26 = 0.05 ≥ α

()

(4)

	0.01	*3.19	1.64	1.58-	1.70	23.29	24.93		150
	0.001	*5.28-	0.11-	0.14	0.10	2.43	2.32		
	0.002	*4.46-	0.20-	0.15	0.14	1.99	1.79		
	0.003	*3.93-	0.65-	0.71	0.81	10.23	9.58		
	0.005	*3.68-	0.52-	0.65	0.72	12.24	11.72		
	0.007	*3.46-	0.37-	0.61	0.48	6.07	5.70		
	0.017	*2.99-	0.23-	0.42	0.56	3.87	3.64		
	0.03	*2.56-	0.22-	0.24	0.38	3.92	3.70		
	0.01	*3.16	0.22	0.30	0.33	4.48	4.70		30
	0.002	*4.44	0.79	0.48	0.50	9.03	9.82)	60
	0.029	*2.60	0.27	0.36	0.48	5.06	5.33	(30
	0.000	*8.54-	5.90-	4.47	4.37	22.50	16.60		
	0.003	*4.13	1.72	1.45	2.02	6.74	8.46		(5)

.2.26=0.05 ≥ α

(5)

	0.06	2.09	1.03	1.09	1.25	24.41	25.44		150
	0.001	5.16-	0.05-	0.08	0.06	2.32	2.27		
	0.004	3.80-	0.13-	0.16	0.15	1.75	1.62		
	0.25	1.20-	0.14-	0.78	0.61	9.25	9.11		
	0.03	2.46-	0.20-	1.19	1.09	11.40	11.20		
	0.06	2.12-	0.17-	0.39	0.31	5.76	5.59		
	0.39	0.88	0.27	0.26	1.05	3.84	3.57		
	0.005	3.70-	0.15-	0.27	0.30	3.62	3.47		
	0.026	2.65	0.15	0.31	0.32	4.74	4.89		30
	0.011	3.17	0.38	0.48	0.67	9.37	9.75)	60
	0.033	2.52	0.30	0.35	0.55	5.27	5.57	(30
	0.000	5.30-	2.90-	6.31	6.66	19.90	17		
	0.048	2.29	0.30	1.53	1.88	7.44	7.74		(5)

.2.26 =0.05 ≥ α

()

(6)

	0.08	1.97-	1.12-	1.09	1.58	24.41	23.29		150
	0.07	1.98	0.11	0.08	0.14	2.32	2.43		
	0.02	2.81	0.24	0.16	0.15	1.75	1.99		
	0.01	3.09	0.98	0.78	0.71	9.25	10.23		
	0.02	2.70	0.84	1.19	0.65	11.40	12.24		
	0.24	1.23	0.31	0.39	0.61	5.76	6.07		
	0.035	2.48	0.30	0.41	0.42	3.84	3.87		
	0.033	2.52	0.30	0.27	0.74	3.62	3.92		
	0.10	1.77-	0.26-	0.31	0.30	4.74	4.48		30
	0.20	1.38-	0.34-	0.48	0.48	9.37	9.03		60
	0.19	1.40-	0.21-	0.35	0.36	5.27	5.06	()	30
	0.29	1.12	2.60	6.31	4.47	19.90	22.5		
	0.28	1.13-	0.70-	1.53	1.45	7.44	6.74		(5)

.2.26= 0.05 ≥ α

()

(0.05)

(1995)

:

(33)

30

(16)

:

(15.9)

(17)

(1990)

(T-test)

(381)

163.40

(IUQ)

163

(153.3)

(151)

(47.4)

(95.80)

(44.80)

(95.10)

() (2)

()

()

0.05 ≥

(0.7) (2.6) (2.30-) (0.4)

()

()

() (3)

()

(94)

/

0.05 ≥ α

(20)

/(14-13)

%21.27

(1996)

(10)

(1989)

."3"

()

(1)

	:		(24)	
			-1	
(90)			-2	
()			-3	
	:			24
			-	
				:
			-4) (
				"3"
			-5	
	(3)	(8)		:
			-6	2001/3/15
		2001/3/27		()
			-7	
	2001/5/27			(10)
				:
(Means			-1	-1
		and Standard Deviations)		
(Paired-Test)	()		-2	-2
)
				(...
)
	(-5
	()			-6
	(4)			
	(4)			
()				

30 ()

()

(0.15-) (0.27)

()

(0.30) (2.90-) (0.30) (0.38) (0.15)

(2.65) (3.70-) (0.88) ()

(2.29) (5.30-) (2.52) (3.17)

)

(

()

(1998)

(1995)

(1989)

(1990)

)

/ 150

(

()

(5)

(5)

()

(2000)

()

()

(1972)

(1998)

0.05-

(5.16-)

(Deborah and Deborah, 1998)

(1998)

(1998)

(1995)

(3.80-)

(0.13-)

)

-) =

(.2.46-)

(0.20)

(

60

30

(1998) (1999)
(1998)

()

(6)

(6)

()

()

2.81 = () (0.24)

(3.09) (0.98)

(0.84)

:

-1

(2.70)

(2.48)

(0.30)

(0.30)

(2.52)

-2

-3

-4

-5

(1998) (2000)
(1989) (1998)
-6 (1972) (1990)
(1995) (1998)

-3

-4

-1

-5

-2

2000

1998

1996

1976

1996

1983

1998

1989

.81-77 1987

2001

1997

1982

1999

1986

16- 10

Fischer, C. A. 1995. *The Effects of Two-Hour Mental Practice on the Performance of Equestrian Jumpers*. California School of Professional Psychology-Los Angeles.

1995

Heil, J. 1990. *The Role of Imagery in Sport: As "Training Tool" and as "A Mode of Thought"*, Paper Presented at The World Congress in Sport Psychology, Copenhagen.

1990

1998

1

Monette, Richard. 1998. *Identification and Analysis of the Mental Imagery of SKI Racers*, UMCO. Canada, 22.

2001

- Scales, W. D. 1998. *The Effects of Mental Imagery on Tennis Serving Performance Across Race*, Dissertation Abstract, Unico., Pro-Quest, Michigan State University.
- Weinberg et al. 1981. Effects of Visio-motor Behavior Rehearsal Relaxation and Imagery on Karate Performance, *Journal of Sport Psychology*.
- Orlick, T. 1989. Reflections of Sport Psychological Consulting with Individual and Team Sport Athletes at Summer and Winter Olympic Games. *The Sport Psychologist*, 358-365.
- Rushall, B.S. and Shewchuk, M.L. 1989. Effect of Thoughts Content Instructions on Swimming Performance, *Journal of Sport Medicine and Physical Fitness*, 29: 334-337.

The Effect of a Suggested Mental Training Program on Developing the Performance Level in Long Jump

*Waleed Rahahleh and Bassam H. Ebiedat**

ABSTRACT

This study aimed to know the effect of a suggested mental training program on developing the performance level in long jump. The researchers used the experimental approach as it fits the study nature.

The sample consisted of (20) students of the Mua'th bin Jabal secondary school for males and represented a percent of (21.27%) of the total population (94). The sample was divided into two equal groups, (10) students each, the first is the experimental group on which the mental training program was applied while the second was the control group which underwent the traditional training program.

The researchers used means, standard deviations, and paired samples t - test available in (SPSS) software.

Results revealed that there were significant differences between the pre- and post-tests for the experimental group in favor of the post-test and there were significant differences between the experimental and control groups in the post-test in favor of the experimental group on some variables.

The researches recommend to use mental training programs, in addition to physical training programs and to use different mental feedback methods such as video, and direct orientation by the coach... etc, and to generalize the results obtained over the athletic (track and field) clubs and coaches to benefit from these results.

* Faculty of Physical Education, University of Jordan. Received on 10/4/2003 and Accepted for Publication on 26/4/2004.