

*

:

-1

-2

-3

(139)

:

.(0.74)

-1

(0.05 = α)

-2

-3

:

(1999)

.1

"

" (201 :1982)

"

"

...

.(42 :1996

) "

.2005/7/21

2004/12/7

*

/ " (2 1 :1993) "

"

.(35 :1998)

.(1984)

.

" .(1996)

.(1978)

." .(1991)

"

.(115 :1993)

.(225 :1998) "

:

1983

(115 :1993)

1991

"

13998 /43 /52 /

(220)

":

.(163 :2001) "

."

(1984)

"

.(95 :2003)

" (232 :1998)

.(Carter, 1973: 2) "

:

.
:

)

." "

(90 89 :2000

:

."

(Vineberg, 1990)

(1996)

)

(2003

:

.1

.2

.	.	.3
.2	.	
	:	.1
(Sullivan, 1980)	.	
(Gade, 1980)	.	.2
(351)	:	
(Rimm, 1982)	.	.3
(Maccallum, 1985)	.	
(472)	/	:
	.	:
	:	:
	:	:
(Vineberg, 1990)	.	
(1993)	.	/
(172)	.	

(2000)

) (2003) (1993

:

() (300)

) (1996

(1025)

:

.1

Sullivan,) (Breithaupt, 1997)

.(Grade, 1980) (1980

.2 (Laubscher, 1988)

) (Maccallum,1985)

.(1993 :

.3

.(Vornberg, 1990)

Laubscher,) .4

.(Breithaupt, 1997) (1988

.5 : (1989)

(2003) "

.(1989)

(398)

:

.3

.(0.86)

(1)

(139)

:

:

(50)

:

:

) ()
) () ()
) () ()
) () ()

.(
 :

.(SPSS)

:

.4

(1)

	10	10-5	5		+				
139	40	67	32	34	69	36	75	64	
%100	%29	%48	%23	%24	%50	%26	%54	%46	

(9-2)

()

(2)

()

)

(2 1)

(0.70 - 0.78)

(

)

:

(

(0.81) (0.89)

(7)

.(0.59)

(0.60)

(0.80)

(0.74)

(0.60)

(0.80)

.()

(2)

()

0.89	4.446		1
0.81	4.028		2
0.78	3.921		3
0.73	3.667		4
0.71	3.538		5
0.70	3.506		6
0.59	2.962		7
0.74	3.724		

.(139 = N)

(5)

-

(0.80)

-

.(0.60)

(0.80)

(0.60)

-

.(5)

(3)

0.93	4.637	.	1
0.90	4.510	.	2
0.90	4.511	.	3
0.89	4.445	.	4
0.86	4.321	.	5
0.78	3.912	.	6
0.59	2.966	.	7
0.59	2.925	.	8
0.81	4.028		

(3)

(8)

(3 2 1)

(0.50)

- 0.93)

(8 7)

(0.86

.(0.80 - 0.85)

.(0.59)

(0.70)

(0.81)

(4)

(4)

0.85	4.245	.	1
0.83	4.131	.	2
0.80	4.001	.	3
0.73	3.661	.	4
0.70	3.500	.	5
0.60	2.997	.	6
0.60	3.007	.	7
0.50	2.511	.	8
0.70	3.506		

(5)

0.85	4.231	.	1
0.84	4.221	.	2
0.82	4.123	.	3
0.80	4.011	.	4
0.78	3.875	.	5
0.58	2.887	.	6
0.58	2.913	.	7
0.62	3.075	.	8
0.73	3.667		

(2 1) (5)

(0.87) (6) (0.84)

(0.47) (4 3 2 1)

(0.71) (0.80 - 0.85)

(7 6)

(7) (0.58)

(3 2 1)

(0.84 - 0.89) (6)

(0.78)

(0.73)

(6)

0.87	4.351	.	1
0.84	4.221	.	2
0.74	3.713	.	3
0.72	3.611	.	4
0.60	3.001	.	5
0.47	2.334	.	6
0.71	3.538		

(7)

0.89	4.445	.	1
0.88	4.413	.	2
0.84	4.181	.	3
0.78	3.891	.	4
0.75	3.773	.	5
0.74	3.678	.	6
0.73	3.656	.	7
0.67	3.331	.	8
0.78	3.921		

(8)

0.96	4.813	.	1
0.93	4.631	.	2
0.93	4.651	.	3
0.91	4.551	.	4
0.81	4.532	.	5
0.80	4.003	.	6
0.89	4.446		

(0.59)

(8)

: (0.80 0.96)
(0.89)

(9)

: -1 - 0.59)

()

(0.69) (1) (0.55)

() ()
 () ()
 = α) (0.05 (10)

(9)

0.69	3.471		1
0.59	2.941		2
0.59	2.951		3
0.56	2.816		4
0.56	2.778		5
0.55	2.765		6
0.59	2.962		

(10)

	()	()				
0.337	0.96	0.826	4.056	64		
		0.848	4.000	75		
0.352	0.93	0.606	5.520	64		
		0.708	3.492	75		
1.95	1.86	0.599	3.680	64		
		0.507	3.654	75		
0.211	1.25	0.695	3.530	64		
		0.842	3.546	75		
0.929	0.009	0.715	3.923	64		
		0.851	3.918	75		
*0.019	2.35	0.507	4.406	64		
		0.499	4.486	75		
0.452	0.091	0.811	3.000	64		
		0.704	2.922	75		
0.304	1.03 -	0.755	3.704	64		
		0.411	3.744	75		

:(12) :
 () (12) () ()
 +) () () ()
 .(0.05 = α) (11)

(11)

		+		
4.028	4.028	4.055	4.001	
3.506	3.512	3.000	4.006	
3.667	3.674	4.260	3.067	
3.538	4.038	3.526	3.550	
3.921	3.920	4.122	3.721	
4.446	4.439	4.857	4.042	
2.962	2.962	3.263	2.661	
3.724	3.796	3.797	3.578	

(12)

	" "					
0.678	0.390	0.234	0.467	2		
		0.599	39.504	137		
			39.972	139		
0.916	0.088	3.869	0.077	2		
		0.442	29.177	137		
			29.254	139		
*0.542	0.619	0.245	0.490	2		
		0.396	26.147	137		
			26.637	139		
0.885	0.123	7.589	0.152	2		
		0.619	40.868	137		
			41.020	139		
0.875	0.127	6.985	0.326	2		
		0.617	36.279	137		
			36.605	139		

...

	" "					
0.914	0.086	3.866	0.076	2		
		0.443	29.174	137		
			29.250	139		
0.688	0.387	0.324	0.476	2		
		0.596	39.405	137		
			39.881	139		
0.904	0.101	4.309	8.617	2		
		0.728	28.278	137		
			36.895	139		

(13)

36		1
35		2
31		3
25		4
19		5
17		6
16		7
14		8
11		9
9		10
8		11
8		12
8		13
8		14
4		15
1		16
1		17
1		18
1		19

:

(13)
(13)

(36)

.5

(3)

:

(2)

(0.74)

(1996)

(4)

(2)

- -

(4)

(3)

(5)

(8)

(9)

(6)

(7)

:
.1

" "

.(2000) .2

.3

.4

.(1993)

+ ()
()

(

.5

:

(10)

(0.05 = α)

.()

.(2000)

(1993)

:

(13)

(25 - 36)

:

()

(0.05 = α)

(2003)

.()

(12)

.(1993) (Vornberg,1990) (Rimm, 1987)

()

()

(13)

()

:

)

: -2

: -3

: -4 .6

: -1

2001

1993

2003

/ (2000) 1993

1996

1999

1998

1980

1984

) 1998

(

1993

1982
2003

23

) 1978

(

1989

1996

: 1990

298655).

1991

- Maccallum, C. 1985. The Relationship of Participation in Interscholastic and Cocurricular Activities to Grade Point Average, Number of Days Absent, Number of Discipline Referrals, Self Concept and Attitude Toward School Junior High Students, *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 47 (5): 344-A.
- Rimm, C. 1982. Behavior Therapy, *Encyclopedia of Education Research*, (4), Macmillan Publishing, London.
- Sullivan, J. 1980. Student Activities in a Small High School, An Ethnographic Study, *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 36 (7): 521 – A.
- Vornberg, J. 1990. Student Activities Programs: Their Status and The Impact of The Reform Movement, (ED 33111).
- Breithaupt, C. 1997. A Study of the Relationship Between Students' Perceptions Extra-Curricular Activities in High School, *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 58 (01): 36- A.
- Carter, V. Good. 1973. *Dictionary of Education*, McGraw Hill Book Company.
- Gade, P. 1980. A Comparison of Working and Non-Working High School Students on Socio- economic Status and Self- esteem, in *Vocational Guidance Quarterly, School Performance*, 29 (1): 65-68.
- Laubscher, S. 1988. The Significance of Participation in Extra Moral Activities: An Annotated Bibliography, (ED

Degree of Secondary Stage Students' Practice of Out of Class Enrichment Activities in Islamic Education Branches from the Teachers' Perspective in Jordan

*Naser A. Alkhawaldeh **

ABSTRACT

The study aimed at intensifying the degree of secondary stage students' practice of out of class enrichment activities in Islamic Education branches from the teachers' perspective in Jordan through answering the following questions:

- 1- What is the degree of students' practice of out of class enrichment activities in each branch of Islamic Education?
- 2- Are there any differences in teachers' perspective regarding students' practice due to gender and academic qualification ?
- 3- What are the obstacles which minimize students' practice of out of class enrichment activities in Islamic Education?

The sample was (139) teachers from the second directorate in Amman, to achieve the purpose of the study. The results of the study revealed that:

- 1- The degree of percentage of students' practice on all branches of Islamic Education was (0.74) which is fair.
- 2- There were no significant statistical differences at ($\alpha = 0.05$) from the teachers' perspective regarding students' practice of out of class enrichment activities due to gender and academic qualification.
- 3- The most obstacles which minimize students' practice of out of class enrichment activities are the following: There is no system of incentives , no equipment and financial support, intensity of subject matter, and lack of time.

In the light of these results, the researcher addressed some recommendations to school, educational supervisors, authors of Islamic Education textbooks and teachers.

KEYWORDS: Activity, Out of Class (enrichment) Activity, Islamic Education.

* Department of Curricula and Instruction, Faculty of Educational Sciences, University of Jordan. Received on 7/12/2004 and Accepted for Publication on 21/7/2005.