

(E-learning)

*

(E-learning)

(60)

(5)

(39) (21)
2004/2003

(0.05 = α)

(ANCOVA)

.(0.88) " "

2003)

(Littleton, 2004

-1

.(Stokes, 2004)

Harison)

.(and Bergen, 2000

)

(2003

(Webb, 2004; Young, 2004)

(John, 2003)

.(2003)

(2003)

.()
.2004/12/27

2004/8/23

*

Computer-Based Learning (CBL)

(WAN-LAN)

(Schaverier, 2003)

.(Webb, 2004) (Internet)

.(Swanson, 2000 2003)

:

.(Harison and Bergen, 2000 2003)

Young, 2004; Kabita, 2002)

)

(2003

(Young,

(

2004)

:

()

2002)

.(Gale, 2003

Litteton, 2004;)

(E-learning) *

.(Webb, 2004

(Young, 2004) " "

(2002)

2003 2002)

.(Young, 2004

(E-learning)

Web-Based Learning (WBL)

Young, 2004;)

.(Stokes, 2004

E-)

(2003)

(E-learning)

: (learning

: .7

: .8

: .9

...

: .10

.(Webb, 2004)

: .11

.(John, 2003)

(2002)

.(Leung, 2003)

Trotter, 2002 :

Hall, 2004;)

: Abouchedid, 2004 Laine, 2003

: (John, 2003; Webb, 2004

: .1

: .2

: .3

: .4

: .5

Young, Trotter, 2002 2002)

: .6

: (2003 2004

)
(...

.(2003) .(2002 Young, 2004)

(2002)

" "

.(Cyberspace) .(2003 2003)

Almusawi Agnes, 2004)) 2001
Young, 2004 Stokes, 2004 and Abdelraheem, 2004 (2003
Webb, 2004 Abouchedid, 2004 2003
(Littleton, 2004

- ()

-)

(
:
"

(0.05 = α)

)

(

-

-

:
= α)

":

(0.05

:(E-learning)

-

α)

":

(0.05 =

(LAN)

"

(Computer-Based Learning)

(Web-Based Learning)

(Distance Learning)

:

:

-

:

-

:

:
: (Marchionini, 1996) " " " "

: -

: -

(17)

(Duchastel, 1997) " " " "

:

()

: -

" "

(Owston, 1997)

: -

(Swanson, 2000) " " " "

2004/2003

" "

(Davis and Chang) " " "
(2003) (2002)

" "

(E-learning) " "

(Clayton, 2000) " "

" "

(Keller, 2002) " "

(Goodison, 2001) " "

" (2002) "

"

(Ali and Franklin, 2001) " " " "

(Howell, 2001) " "

" "

(Goodride, 2001)

" (2002)

"

(Trotter, 2002) " "

" "

" "

" "

(2002)

(Russel)

:

"Illinois"

(16)

(Agnes, 2004) " " (Aldrich, 2003) " " (Abouchedid, 2004) " "

" " (Kabassi, 2004) " " (Almusawi and Abdelraheem, 2004) " "

(Littleton, 2004) " "

" " " " " "

(Stokes, Basford and Cannaving, 2004)

(Webb, 2004) " "

() (Young, 2004) " "

-2

: (Stokes, 2004) " "

(150) (2004/2003) (%95.8)
 (60 90) (%82)
 (60) :
 (21 39) (%37)
 2004/2003 (%5.8)

- (2002) - (12 20) (32)
- .2 : (CDs) (28) (9 19)
- 1
- Florida Center for Instructional Technology
<http://fcit.coedu.usf.edu/> (11) (2004/2003)
 - Instructional Design Models) :
http://carbon.cudenver.edu/~mryder/itc_data/idmodels.html
 - Instructional Technology Connections
<http://carbon.cudenver.edu/~mryder/itcon.html>
 - Instructional Technology Resources
www.so.cc.va.us/vccsit/itresour.htm
 - Yahoo! Directory: Instructional Technology > Journals .(
d5.dir.scd.yahoo.com/education/instructional_technology/journals
 - Instructional Technology .
home.earthlink.net/~baseballnut/instructional_technology.htm .2
 - ITS Center for Instructional Technology
www.unc.edu/cit/guides/journals.html .2004/2003
 - Instructional Technology Links (CDs)
danenet.wicip.org/mmsd-it/edtechlinks.html :
 - Instructional Technology Quick Reference GuideWSU : (CDs)
 Instructional Technology: Internet Resources
www.faculty.de.gcsu.edu/~bmccoy/reference.html
 - Instructional Psychology and Technology
www.byu.edu/ipr/resources/journals.html
 - Online resources related to instructional technology
www.gsu.edu/~wwwitr/links.html
 - Instructional Technology Resources - Online Journals (CDs)
curry.edschool.virginia.edu/it/itjournal.html :
 - WSU Instructional Technology: Internet Resources (2003) -
curry.edschool.virginia.edu/it/itjournal.html .3 :

:

: (11)

(CDs)

:

" "

-

(100)

)

.(

()

-

(ICDL)

() (CDs)

(%95)

()

)

" "

(-

(25)

(60)

.(0.5)

(0.88)

: : - :

. : .1

. :

.() : .2

: :

...

(ANCOVA)

.(SPSS) :

-3

()

.(Multimedia Builder, Macomedia Flash 5, PowerPoint)

:

:

(1)

()

.2004/2003

(1)

(14.03) (13.86) ()

(0.17)

(13.85) (14.14)

(0.29) (2004/2003)

(1) (ANCOVA)

(86.68)

(94.5) :

(85.33) (7.82)
 (3.98) (89.31)
 (0.05 = α) (5.48) (92.77) (87.29)
 (1)
 (ANCOVA)
 (2) (84.25)
 (3) (6.88) (91.13)

(1)

9.64	81.89	8.54	82.44	9.94	13.78	9	
8.02	85.37	7.05	88.68	6.13	13.89	19	
9.60	84.25	8.75	86.68	8.94	13.86	28	
7.52	87.92	8.02	90.92	8.37	14.42	12	
5.62	93.05	6.68	96.65	5.25	13.80	20	
6.64	91.13	5.64	94.50	6.22	14.03	32	
8.72	85.33	9.46	87.29	10.5	14.14	21	
6.22	89.31	8.84	92.77	8.16	13.85	39	
7.76	87.92	8.15	90.85	9.74	13.95	60	

(7.82) = α " :
 (2) (0.05 = α) (0.05)
 (0.000) (39.725) () "
 (92.77)
 (87.29) (2) (ANCOVA)
 (0.05 = α) () (2)
 (0.000) (81.821)
 = α
 : (1) (0.05)
 " :
 (0.05 = α) (94.50)

(6.88) "

= α) (3) (0.05) (3) (ANCOVA)

() (0.000) (15.137) () (3) (0.000) (43.978)

(85.33) (89.31) = α (0.05 = α) (1) (0.05)

(91.13)

(2)

(ANCOVA)

	()				
0.507	0.477	5.399	1	5.399	
0.000	*81.821	988.710	1	988.710	
0.000	*39.725	480.034	1	480.034	
0.771	0.085	1.032	1	1.032	
		12.084	55	664.607	()
		35.045	59	2067.650	

.(0.05 = α)

*

(3)

(ANCOVA)

	()				
0.564	0.337	5.776	1	5.776	
0.000	*43.978	754.688	1	754.688	
0.000	*15.137	259.757	1	259.757	
0.464	0.505	8.663	1	8.663	
		17.161	55	943.840	()
		32.654	59	1926.583	

.(0.05 = α)

*

(ANCOVA)

(0.05 = α)

(0.05 = α)

(...

Marchionini,) (2002)

:

Swanson, 2000 Marchionini, 1996 Duchastel, 1997
Kabita, 2003 Keller, 2002 Howell, 2001 Clayton, 2000
.Webb, 2004 John, 2003 Leung, 2003

.(1996

-

(2002)

(Russel)

(Webb, 2004; Stokes, 2003)

:

-

Keller, 2003 2003 :

.Agnes, 2004 Jim, 2003 John, 2003 2003

-

Owston, 1997 :

Leung, 2003 Goodride, 2001 Swanson, 2000
.Young, 2004 Schaverien, 2003

Gale, Blass, 2003 :
.Kabita, 2003 2003 : .2003

.Kabita, 2003 Howell, 2001 :

()

:

Agnes, 2004 2003

2003

.Webb, 2004 Littleton, 2004

John, :

Clayton, 2000 2003

-5

.Howell, 2001 :

:

:

- (ICDL)

-

- Workforce Management*, 82 (11): 112. -6
- Goodison, T.A. 2001. *The Implementation of E-learning in Higher Education in the United Kingdom: The Road Ahead*. 2003
- Goodride, E. 2001. E-learning Blends in with Classrooms. *Information Week*, 834: 97-98.
- Grabe, M. and Grabe, C. 2001. *Integrating Technology for Meaningful Learning*. "Third Edition", Houghton Mifflin Company, Boston- New York. 2002
.17-13 91 2002
- Hall, B. 2004. What is E-learning? *Online Classroom*. December, 15-20. 2002
.65-45 91
- Harison, N. and Bergen, C. 2000. One Design Strategies for Developing an Online Congt. *Educational Technology*, 40 (1): 57-61. .84-78 91 2002
.43-36 91
- Howell, D. 2001. Elements of Effective E-learning. *College Teaching*, 49 (3): 87-91. : 2003
- Jim, W. 2003. E-learning University Targets Student Market in Singapore. *Times Educational Supplement*. Issue 455. The TIMES HIGHER, 11. 1423/8/17-16
- John, A. 2003. Stress Caused by Online Collaboration in E-learning: A Developing Model. *Education Training*, 45 (8/9): 564-588.
- Kabassi, K. 2004. Personalized Adult E-learning on Computer Use Based on Multiple Attribute Decision Making. *Interaction with Computers*, 16 (1): 115-127.
- Kabita, B. 2003. An E-learning Experience- a Written Analysis Based on my Experience in an E-learning Pilot Project. *Campus-wide Information Systems*, 20 (5): 193-200.
- Keller, C. 2002. Student's Perceptions of E-learning in University Education. *Journal of Educational Media*, 27 (1, 2): 55-68.
- Laine, L. 2003. Is E-learning E-ffective for IT Training?. *ERIC, EJ669209*.
- Leung, M. 2003. Evaluating the Effectiveness of E-learning. *Computer Science Education*, 13 (2): 123-138.
- Littleton, K. 2004. Guiding the Creation of Knowledge and Understanding in a Virtuality. *Cyber Psychology and Behavior*, 7 (2): 173-186.
- Marchionini, G. 1996. Hypermedia and Learning. *Educational Technology*, 28 (11): 8-12.
- Mike, D. 1993. Computer Assisted Language Learning. Increasingly Integrated into an Ever More Electronic World. *The Canadian Modern Language Review*, 50 (1): 124-138.
- Owston, R. D. 1997. The Worldwide Web: A Technology to
- Abouchedid, K. 2004. The Problems and Possibilities of Implementing E-learning in Arab Educational Institutions. *Quality Assurance in Education: An International Perspective*, 12 (1): 15-28.
- Agnes, K. 2004. Usability: A Common Theme for Development in E-learning at the UK Open University. *International Journal of Distance Education Technology*, 2 (3): 1-6.
- Aldrich, C. 2003. Simulations and the Future of Learning: An Innovative (and Perhaps Revolutionary) Approach to E-learning. *Educational Media International*, 20 (3/4): 259-268.
- Al-Musawi, A. and Abdelraheem, A. 2004. E-learning at Sultan Qaboos University Status and Future. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 35 (3): 363-368.
- Ali, A, and Franklin, T. 2001. Internet Use in the Classroom: Potential and Pitfalls for Student Learning and Teacher Student Relationship. *Educational Technology*, 41 (4): 57-59.
- Blass, E. 2003. Building on Solid Foundations: Establishing Criteria for E-learning Development. *Journal of Further and Higher Education*, 27 (3): 227-235.
- Clayton, M. 2000. Every University Has E-learning in its Future. *Christian Science Monitor*, 92 (238): 14-15.
- Duchastel, P. 1997. A Web-based Model for University Instruction. *Journal Education Technology Systems*, 25 (3): 221-228.
- Gale, S. 2003. Making E-learning More Than Pixie Dust.

- Selected for Publication, Student's State of Readiness for E-learning: University of Sheffield, Faculty of Medicine. *European Journal of Dental Education*, 8 (2): 95-96.
- Swanson, S. 2000. Universities Pursue E-learning Profits. *Information Week*, (798): 93.
- Trotter, A. 2002. *Department Study to Examine Effectiveness of Technology*. Education Week on the Web. 21(27):32-34. Retrieved July 14, 2004 from <http://edweek.org>.
- Webb, E. 2004. Using E-learning Dialogues in Higher Education. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 41 (1): 93-112.
- Young, S. 2004. Original Article in Search of Online Pedagogical Models: Investigating a Paradigm Change in Teaching through the School for all Community. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 20 (2): 133-145.
- Enhance Teaching and Learning. *Educational Researcher*, (26): 27-34.
- Roblyer, M.D. and Edwards, J. 2000. *Integrating Educational Technology into Teaching*. "Second Edition", Merrill, An Imprint of Prentice Hall, New Jersey.
- Sakamoto, T. 2003. E-learning in the Japanese University. *Industry and Higher Education*, 17 (6): 397-405.
- Schaverien, L. 2003. Teacher Education in the Generative Virtual Classroom Developing Learning Theories a Web-Delivered, Technology and Science Education Context. *International Journal of Science Education*, 25 (12): 1451-1463.
- Stokes, C. I. 2004. Abstracts Selected for Publication, Student's State of Readiness for E-learning. University of Sheffield, Faculty of Medicine. *European Journal of Dental Education*, 8 (2): 97-108.
- Stokes, C., Basford, L. and Cannavina, C. 2004. Abstracts

The Effect of E-learning on the Achievement of Educational Sciences Faculty (ESF) Students in Instructional Technology Course in Comparison with the Conventional Method

*Moh'd Mahmoud Al-Helih **

ABSTRACT

This study aimed at investigating the effect of E-learning and students' gender on the immediate and delayed achievement of ESF students in instructional technology course in comparison with the conventional method. The study sample consisted of (60) students (males = 21, females = 39) who were registered in the instructional technology course in the first semester 2003/2004, and were randomly chosen from the study population which consisted of 5 sections. One of the selected two sections was the experimental group and the other was the control group. The experimental group was taught the instructional technology course using the E-learning method, and the control group was taught the same course using the conventional method. An achievement test of (100) items was constructed and validated. The reliability coefficient was calculated and found to be (0.88).

Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was used, and the study revealed that there were statistical significant differences at ($\alpha = 0.05$) in the means between the experimental and control groups attributed to teaching method in favor of E-learning, and gender in favor of female students. Also, the study showed that there were no statistical significant differences at ($\alpha = 0.05$) in the means of groups due to the interaction between the teaching method and gender. In addition to other recommendations, the researcher recommended that more research should be conducted on using E-learning in enhancing the achievement of undergraduate students in other different subjects.

Keywords: E-learning, achievement, instructional technology, Educational Sciences Faculty.

* Department of Instructional Technology, Faculty of Educational Sciences (UNRWA). Received on 23/8/2004 and Accepted for Publication on 27/12/2004.