

:

*

15

:

15

410

()

()

(1)

-1

)

.(18 :1992

1993

.2005/1/6

2004/3/22

*

.(1976)

- ()

:
"72 " ()

.()

(Al-Thakeb, 1985)
:
:

()

-2

:1995)
(800) (143 - 99
" :

(2)

..."
" :

:1991) (202
(% 38 -3)

405.6
500

(1980)
%20

(Givens and

Hirschman, 1994)

4.667

(Koury and Massad, 1992) (3)

(Goode, 1963: 218 - 219)

(Greenhalgh, 1985)

(Thornton and Lin, 1994)

(Morgan and Hiroshama, 1983)

(Rao and Inbaraj,

Goode

1977)

(McDonald, 1985; Pramualrtana, Havanon and Knode,

Tamil Nadu

1985; Cherlin and Chamratrithirong, 1988; Riley, 1994;

. Thornton and Lin, 1994; Malhotra, 1991)

()

: 586

(Xu and Whyte, 1990)

.1

"

"

.2

.3

Goode

(Caldwell et al., 1994)

1974

10.964

.4

-3

()

Modernization theory

(Lee,

(Inkekes and Smith, 1974: 290)

.1994:39)

:

Kornblum (1989: 110 –111)

:

.1

.2

(Robertson, 1980: 285)

.3

.4

Remaining Single

1958

1960

(Smeler,

-28

40–20

:

1966)

-42

23

%40

.1

%52

. Cohabitation

.2

Parsons (1966)

Lerner (1964) Horowitz (1966) Moore (1977)

.3

161

()

.4

(Pletsch,

1981:574)

(Worsley,

(Horowitz, 1966) 1964)

(4)

...

:

.1

) :

(277:1971) .(1) (.2

(Saslow, 1982: 13 - 14) .3

:1980) (314-311 .4

.(60:1959) .5

(1995) .6

: .1 .8

-4

.2 : :
.3 :

. 15 :
229 181 410 :
.()

15 2002 .2002

.()

-5

2003/2002

)

56

.(

%44.1

%10

%92.2

%55.9

%7.8

%33.9

%5.1

%61

%8.5

12

%18.5

%27.6

%14.4

410

%30.5

%0.5

)

%34.1 %12.9 %18.5) :

(

(%9.1 %0.5 %24.9

%.%30.5

60

%4.6

3-1

%30

6-4

10

%38.3

9-7

0.83

0.75 ()

%27.1

(9-7)

(10)

%30 (

6-4)

%38.3

%27.1

SPSS

(5)

(2)

%8 100 :

()

(2)

299-200 %20.5

199-100

:

499-400 %16.1

399-300

%20.2

500

%17.6

		...	
		0.72	2.39
		%31	
		0.72	1.90
			%78
		0.60	2.70
		(221-195 :1995)	
		%73.1	
		(202 :1991)	
		(1)	
:1985)		"	
	(177-153 :1986) (109-52		
	()		
	(2)		
14 12 11 9 8 6 5 4 2 1)			
	(15		"
			:
			%39.5
()		"	%57.5
		%44.4	"
			%53.7
:1991)		(1993) (1995)	
	(205-203		
(13 9 8 ,7 ,2)			
		(14 13 10 9 7 6 5 4 3 1)	
		(14 8 2) :	
		(131-128 :1995)	
(Malhotra (129 :1995)			

(16-15 :1992

.and Tsui, 1996: 481)

(4)

(15 13 8 6 3 2 1)

(3)

(500)

(100)

7 5 2)

:

()

(14 11 10 9 8

()

()

)

(

(Risman and Ferree,

:

1995)

(231 :1991)

(5)

)

(

(Prothro and Diab, 1974: 66-

.70)

(Vom and Hirschman, 1979: 88)

(15 14 13 12 8 7 4 3 2 1)

18

(Womble, 1966: 181)

()

23.2

27.9

(Carlson, 1979: 344-345)

(17 - 13)

%17

%4

%10

(Teachman et al., 1987:6)

) (Boque, 1969: 642)

(63 :1999)

(6)

8 7 6 5 4 3 1)

(15 14 13 12 10 9

(Chamratrithirong, Morgan and Rindfuss,
(35 :1999)

1998)

()

) (1993)

(8)

.(1995) (1992

(14 13 12 11 9 7 4 3 1)

()

(63 :1991)

(194 :1991)

(Lerner, 1964;

.Robertson, 1980; Goode, 1963; Inkeles and Smith, 1974)

(7)

(15 13 13 10 8 6 5 4 3 2 1)

()

- ()

-

:

:

(1986)

(1991)

)

(1993

(1991)

.(1995)

)

:

.(130-129 :1995

:

: (3)

.1

.2

.(1995)

.3

:

)

(

.4

.5

()

.6

(5)

(5)

:

(15 13 9 7 5 4)

(6)

(6)

(7)

6 2 1) :

12

(11 10)

(8

(3)

(7)

(7)

()

(7)

:

"

"

"

(7)

:

(6)

(

)

(7)

(6)

30

25

)

(7)

(

(7)

"

(7)

":

"

(7)

(7)

(7)

(

)

(6)

(7)

.(Riley, 1994)

25)

(30

" "

:

:

(8)

() ()

-6

()

:

)

-
-(

:

()

)

(Malhotra and Tsui, 1996)

(1995

(Morgan and Hiroshima, 1983)

:

:

(Thornton and Lin, 1994)

(Greenhalgh,

:

1985)

(1)

		(3) %	(2) %	(1) %		
0.85	1.69	24.9	18.8	56.3		1
0.83	1.91	30.7	29.8	39.5		2
0.76	2.41	57.5	25.9	16.6		3
0.83	2.18	44.4	28.8	26.8	()	4
0.80	2.33	53.9	25.6	20.5		5
0.56	1.27	5.6	15.9	78.5		6
0.81	1.9	28.5	33.5	38.0		7
0.81	1.69	22.2	24.1	53.7		8
0.81	1.77	23.9	28.8	47.3		9
0.67	1.37	10.7	15.4	73.9		10
0.82	1.78	24.6	29.0	46.4	()	11
0.72	2.39	52.9	33.2	13.9	25) (30	12
0.72	1.9	21.5	47.5	31.0		13
0.60	2.70	78.0	14.2	7.8		14
0.65	1.37	9.8	17.1	73.1		15

(2)

()

		()				
*	0.01	2.60	1.60	1.81		1
***	0.0001	6.23	1.69	2.19		2
	0.88	0.15	2.41	2.40		3
***	0.0001	10.72	2.52	1.74	()	4
***	0.0001	8.47	2.62	1.98		5
**	0.007	2.70	1.21	1.35		6
	0.41	0.83	1.93	1.87		7
***	0.0001	4.16	1.54	1.87		8
***	0.0001	5.10	1.59	1.99		9
	0.22	1.24	1.33	1.41		10
***	0.0001	4.40	1.63	1.98	()	11

**	0.007	2.70	2.31	2.50	25)	(30	12
	0.710	0.38	1.92	1.89			13
***	0.0001	4.80	2.59	2.85			14
**	0.037	2.10	1.31	1.44			15
	0.001		***	0.01	**	0.05	*

(3)

()

		()					
	0.03	2.17	1.38	1.71			1
***	0.0005	3.54	2.41	1.87			2
	0.83	0.22	2.44	2.41			3
	0.006	2.78	2.56	2.14	()		4
***	0.0005	4.12	2.69	2.30			5
**	0.002	3.20	1.09	1.29			6
***	0.0001	7.02	1.22	1.96			7
*	0.02	2.29	2.0	1.66			8
***	0.0001	5.77	1.28	1.81			9
**	0.02	2.50	1.19	1.38			10
***	0.0003	3.66	2.28	1.74	()		11
	0.37	0.89	2.28	2.40	30 25)	(12
	0.20	1.27	1.75	1.92			13
***	0.0001	4.92	2.03	2.76			14
	0.08	1.78	1.56	1.35			15
	0.001		***	0.01	**	0.05	*

(4)

()

			500	-400	-300	-200	- 100			
				499	399	299	199	100		
**	0.004	3.54	1.64	1.56	1.41	1.83	1.79	2.0		1
**	0.011	3.1	2.06	2.10	1.79	1.75	1.69	1.79		2
**	0.016	2.84	2.54	2.46	2.36	2.55	2.19	2.33		3
	0.57	0.78	2.25	2.07	2.27	2.20	2.08	2.21	()	4
	0.35	1.12	2.38	2.21	2.33	2.25	2.48	2.36		5
**	0.013	2.79	1.15	1.25	1.41	1.33	1.27	1.42		6
	0.09	1.92	1.79	1.85	1.83	2.07	2.02	2.16		7
**	0.005	3.31	1.83	1.61	1.56	1.47	1.85	1.91		8
	0.38	1.06	1.76	1.61	1.80	1.89	1.79	1.67		9

	0.07	2.08	1.46	1.19	1.29	1.49	1.36	1.42		10
	0.20	1.47	1.97	1.81	1.65	1.76	1.80	1.61		11
	0.14	1.66	2.33	2.26	2.33	2.55	2.45	2.33		12
*	0.05	2.19	1.74	1.93	1.82	2.01	2.09	2.15		13
	0.07	2.07	2.68	2.72	2.58	2.87	2.68	2.61		14
***	0.0005	4.48	1.28	1.42	1.20	1.58	1.43	1.09		15
		0.001		***	0.01		**	0.05		*

(5)

()

			10	9-7	6-4	3-1			
***	0.0003	6.54	1.65	1.88	1.45	1.84			1
*	0.05	2.65	1.90	1.85	1.92	1.72			2
*	0.05	2.63	2.56	2.34	2.41	2.16			3
**	0.008	396	2.81	2.02	2.35	2.32	()		4
	0.06	2.44	2.44	2.20	2.38	2.47			5
	0.21	1.51	1.24	1.33	1.20	1.37			6
***	0.001	5.36	1.99	1.94	1.89	1.21			7
***	0.0005	5.99	1.70	1.50	1.90	1.74			8
	0.28	1.27	1.70	1.83	1.71	2.0			9
	0.20	1.55	1.28	1.45	1.34	1.37			10
	0.57	0.68	1.69	1.83	1.81	1.74			11
***	0.0001	12.73	2.49	2.54	2.24	1.63			12
***	0.0001	7.71	2.07	1.99	1.70	1.58			13
**	0.009	3.91	2.86	2.69	2.59	2.63			14
**	0.004	4.45	1.35	1.48	1.28	1.0			15
		0.001		***	0.01		**	0.05	*

(6)

()

**	0.001	4.01	1.20	1.35	1.68	1.71	1.68	1.69		1
	0.06	2.13	1.28	1.35	1.50	1.97	1.85	2.10		2
***	0.0001	5.14	2.65	2.60	2.40	2.58	2.22	2.46		3
**	0.004	3.48	2.29	2.23	2.21	2.22	2.10	1.74)	4
***	0.0002	4.92	2.49	2.47	2.46	2.41	2.14	1.91	(5
***	0.0001	6.74	1.15	1.18	1.21	1.23	1.22	1.49		6

- York: Harvard University Press.
- Khoury, S.A. and Massad, D. 1992. Consanguineous Marriage in Jordan, *American Journal of Medical Genetics*, 43: 769-775.
- Kornblum, W. 1989. *Social Problems*, (Sixth Ed.) Englewood Cliffs, N.J., Prentice – Hall.
- Lee, G. 1987. Comparative Perspectives. In M.B. Sussman and S.K Setinmentz (Eds.). *Handbook of Marriage and the Family*, 50-80. New York: Plenum.
- Lerner, D. 1964. *The Passing of Traditional Society, Modernizing the Middle East*. New York: The Free Press.
- Malhorta, A. 1991 Gender and Changing Generational Relations: Spouse Choice Indonesia, *Demography*, 28: 549-570.
- Malhorta, A. and Tsui, A.O. 1996. Marriage Timing in Sri Lanka: The Role of Modern Norms and Ideas, *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 58 (may): 476-490.
- McDonald, P. 1985. Social Organization and Nuptiality in Developing Societies. In J. Cleland and J. Hobcraft (Eds), *Reproductive Change in Developing Countries*, New York: Oxford University Press.
- Melville, K. 1977. *Marriage and Family Today*. New York: Random House.
- Moore, Wilbert. 1977. *Indicators of Social Change*, Sage Foundation: Boston press.
- Morgan, P. and Hiroshima, K. 1983. The Persistence of Extended Family Residence in Japan: Anachronism or Alternative Strategy? *American Sociological Review*, 48: 269-281.
- Nye, L. and Berado, F. 1973. *The Family, its Structure, and Interaction*. New York: Macmillan.
- Parsons. T. 1966. *The Structure of Social Action*, New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Pletsch, C.E. 1981. The Here Worlds, or the Division of Scientific Labor, Circa 1950-1975, *Comparative Studies in Society and History*, 23 (4): 565-90.
- Pramualratana, A., Havanon, K. and Knodel, J. 1985. Exploring the Normative Basis for Age at Marriage in Thailand: An Example from Focus Group Research. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 47, 203-210.
- Prothro, E.T. and Diab, L.N. 1974. Changing Family Patterns in the Arab East, Beirut: American University of Beirut.
- Rao, P.S. and Inbaraj, S.G. 1977. Inbreeding in Tamil Nadu, (1999) , , , , (5) , , , , : ,1992 , , , , , : .37 -7 (/) (4 -1) , ,1986 .177 -153 (14) , ,1971 , : .277 , , , .217
- Al-Thakeb, F. 1985. The Arab Family and Modernity: Evidence from Kuwait. *Current Anthropology*, 26: 575-580.
- Barhoum, M.I. 1980. Courtship, Marriage, and Family in Jordan, Memo.
- Berger, 1986. The Sects and the Breakthrough into the Modern World. *Sociological Quarterly*, 12 (4): 486- 499.
- Boque, D. 1969. Principles of Demography, New York: Wiley.
- Caldwell, J. C., Gajanayak, I., Caldwell, B. and Caldwell, P. 1989. Is Marriage Delay a Multiphase Response to Pressures for Fertility Decline? The Case of Sri Lanka, *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 51: 337- 351.
- Carlson, E. 1979. Family Background, School and Early Marriage, *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 41 (November): 877- 891.
- Chamrathirong, A., Morgan, S.P., and Rindfuss, R. 1988. Living Arrangements and Family Formation, *Social Forces*, 66: 925-950.
- Cherlin, A. and Chamrathirong, A. 1988. Variations in Marriage Patterns in Central Thailand, *Demography*, 25: 337-354.
- Givens, B.P. and Hirschman, 1994. Modernization and Consanguineous Marriage in Iran. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 56, (November): 820-834.
- Goode, W.J. 1963. *World Revolution and Family Patterns*, New York: Free Press.
- Greenhalph, S. 1985. Sexual Stratification: The Other Side of "Growth and Equity" in East Asia, *Population and Development Review*, 11: 265-314.
- Horowitz, I.L. (ed) 1964. *The New Sociology*, New York: Oxford University Press.
- Inkeles, A. and Smith, D. 1974. *Becoming Modern*, New

- Family*, New York, Plenum.
- Thornton, A. and Lin, H.S 1994. *Social Change and the Family in Taiwan*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Vom E.B., and Hirshman, C. 1979. Age at First Marriage in Peninsular Malaysian, *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 41 (November): 887-891.
- Womble, D. 1966. *Foundation for Marriage and Family Relations*, New York: Macmillan.
- Worsley, P. 1982. *Marx and Marxism*, New York: Tavistock.
- Xu, X, H. and Whyte, M.K. 1990. Love Matches and Arranged Marriages: A Chinese Replication, *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 52: 709-722.
- South India, *Social Biology*, 24: 281-288.
- Riley, N. 1994. Interwoven Lives: Parents, Marriage and the Family, and Guanxi in China, *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 56: 791-804.
- Risman, B.J. and Ferree, M.M. 1995. Comment: Making Gender Visible, *American Sociological Review*, 60, 775-782.
- Roertson, In. 1980. *Social Problems*, (2nd ed) New York: Random House, 286.
- Saslow, C.A 1982. *Basic Research Method*, London: Addison- Weley Publishing Co., 13-14.
- Teachman, J., Polonko, K. and Scanzoni, J. 1987. Demography of the Family, in M. Sussman and Steinmetz, S. (Eds), *Handbook of Marriage and the*

Youth Attitudes Toward Traditional and Modern Marriage: Field Study on Students of Mu'tah University

*Ayed Wraikat**

ABSTRACT

This study aims at exploring the social phenomenon associated with marriage in Jordan in light of modernization and tradition. More specifically, this study tries to answer eight questions concerning the responses of the subjects towards fifteen items concerning traditional and modern marriage. In addition, it tries to find out the relation of the following variables: sex, religion, income, family size, father's educational level, mother's educational level, and place of residence with these social phenomena.

In order to answer the questions of the study, and after reviewing the related literature, a fifteen-item questionnaire was randomly distributed to 410 subjects.

The results of the study revealed positive behaviors towards modern marriage rejection of the following: early marriage, arranged marriage, late marriage, family interference in the selection processes, divorce, the necessity of acquaintance between the partners. Also, the study found that the majority of the respondents especially among females perceive dowry as a good tradition, they also rejected polygamy and marriage from foreign spouses. The T-test with the variables sex and religion and the F-test with the variables: father's and mother's educational level, and monthly income, and place of residence show a positive statistical significance for many items. Finally, the study presented some recommendations to further investigate the late marriage phenomenon and its consequences.

* Department of Sociology, Faculty of Arts, Mu'tah University, Al-Karak, Jordan. Received on 22/3/2004 and Accepted for Publication on 6/1/2005.