23 .

2010 .2001

(0.53) %1

.%5

:

.

.

Qain, 2009 .

.

. .

.

.

.

Dechow, 1994 .

2012/4/16 .2012/9/20

.

 .

.

.

.4

.2

.

IFRS

1998

.   .

.

2003   1997

.

.

Livant, and Zarowin,
1990; Cotter, 1996; Charitou, 1997; Francis,
                2005   2003;
                    Habib, 2008; 2005   .

.3

.

    Livant, and Zarowin, 1990;
(   )   .
                    .

        (%11.6)   (%8.1)

.

:

2003   .

1997   .   Cotter, 1996;

.

.

2003   .   .

Charitou, 1997;

.   .

Francis, 2003;

.   .

.

2005

.

.2010   2001

.%5

.5   2005

.

.

Habib, 2008;

.

.

1997   .

$$\Delta SP_{i,t} = \alpha + \beta_1 \Delta NOCF\ adequacy_{i,t} + \beta_2\ \Delta NOCF\ efficiency_{i,t} + e$$

:

Δ :

$SP_{i,t}$: i   t

β :

$NOCF$:

E :

1.

(   )

(   )   .

.(   )

                                                                      .

                                                            .

                                          .

                        .   .

                                    .

The change in the percentage of the net   .
operating cash flow adequacy

                                                                                          .

                    :   .

$$\Delta in \% NOCF\ adequacy_{i,t} = \% NOCF\ adequacy_{i,t} - \% NOCF\ adequacy_{i,t-1}) / \% NOCF\ adequacy_{i,t-1}$$

            .

                                    :

$$\% NOCF\ adequacy_{i,t} = NOCF_{i,t} / \left[LTD\ S_{i,t} + Cash\ purchases\ of\ FA_{i,t} + Cash\ dividends_{i,t} \right]$$

                                                                                          :

                                                                                    $LTDS$:

FA:

.

. The change in the percentage of the net
operating cash flow efficiency

$$\Delta\, in\, \%\, NOCF\ efficiency_{i,t} = (\%\, NOCF\ efficiency_{i,t} - \%\, NOCF\ efficiency_{i,t-1})\, /\, \%\, NOCF\ efficiency_{i,t-1}$$

2001 2010.

:

. $\%\, NOCF\ efficiency_{i,t} = NOCF_{i,t}\, /\, TA_{i,t}$

: :

– TA:

–

.2 : –

– The percentage change in the market
. share price

.

)

( ) (.

(23)

2001 2010. $\%\, \Delta\, in\, SP_{i,t} = (SP_{i,t} - SP_{i,t-1})\, /\, SP_{i,t-1}$

.

.

:

.

:

. /

:

.

.   .

)   (1)   .
                    (   )
              .2010   2001   .   (

2010   2001

                                      .0.11

.   .

0.24   .6

                .

(1)

2010   2001

:							
8.48	2.75	50.69	16.76	0.18	0.00		1
6.2	2.62 -	3.57	1.63 -	0.40	0.20		2
2.32	0.69 -	1.65	0.93 -	0.12	0.01 -		3
3.74	2.52 -	7.47	4.06 -	0.49	0.11		4
3.05	2.33 -	2.81	2.79 -	0.35	0.01 -		5
1.64	0.95 -	1.57	0.91 -	0.22	0.02		6
0.54	0.09	0.57	0.13	0.37	0.04		7
2.89	1.05	2.66	1.57	0.92	0.48		8
1.96	2.59 -	2.44	2.54 -	0.54	0.11		9
4.55	1.82 -	303.2	103.06 -	0.32	0.10	/	10
20.40	9.40 -	306.97	104.18 -	0.27	0.02		11
2.10	1.55 -	3.87	1.43 -	0.23	0.01		12
0.62	0.08	0.76	0.20	0.99	0.34		13
0.64	0.13	0.76	0.26	0.78	0.45		14
12.70	6.32 -	21.52	8.15 -	0.24	0.00		15
0.44	0.06	0.89	0.18	0.49	0.1		16

	:					
4.32	0.63	3.17	0.39 -	0.81	0.26	17
1.69	0.38 -	2.21	0.03 -	0.49	0.12	18
17.61	5.93	12.82	4.23	0.29	0.10 -	19
2.11	0.99 -	1.67	0.67 -	0.37	0.07	20
1.76	1.24 -	2.26	1.94 -	033	0.02	21
1.14	0.34 -	1.54	0.22 -	0.32	0.04	22
2.23	0.86	2.07	0.45	0.27	0.10	23
5.40	0.96 -	86.83	9.09 -	0.24	0.11	

(8) %35   0.10

15)   %65   .   0.48
            .   (

%16.76
    %104.18
                    2010   2001
                              .
    .86.83   %9.09   (2)
                        3.97
                    .2010   2001
            .
        (2)

        54.75   1.01   2010   2001
            2010   2001   19.68 (   )
                    .
)   5.45
        .(.

.

%5.93
%9.40

.

.%50

%39 (9)

(4) . %61
18.22 – (14) .
1185.54 %0.39

117.2. %0.63

.

0.08 ( )

. (2

%0.96 .5.4 0.05 - ( )
0.21 .
0.05

.

(2)

2001 2010

19.68	1.01	5.45	3.97	
1185.54	18.22 -	117.2	54.75	
0.21	0.05 -	0.05	0.08	

. ( )
. (

)

(3)

.   .

(3)

t		
** 3.026	0.102	
0.225	0.000	
0.313 -	0.002 -	

%1   **
%5   *

.

(4)   .Pearson   %5

.

%1   0.526   .

.

.%5

.   .

1997   2003

.2005

.

.%1

(4)

0.38 -	0.37 -		
** 0.526			

%1　　　　　　　　　　**
%5　　　　　　　　　*

.8　　　　　　　　　　　　　　.

2003　　　　　　1997　　　　)
(2005

.　　　　　　　　　　　(Livant, and Zarowin,
1990, Cotter, 1996, Caritou, 1997, Francis,
2003, Habib, 2008).

.

1997　　　　　　　　　　　:

- 279　　23　　　2　　　　　　　　　　25　　　1
297　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　132 - 124
2003　　　　　　　　　　　　　　2005

1　　17　　　　　　　　:　　　　　　152 - 141　　1　　32
91- 69　　　　　　　2005
2002　76

Charitou, A., 1997, The Role of Cash Flows and Accruals *The European Accounting Review*, Vol.6, Issue 4, 629-
in Explaining Security Returns, Evidence from the UK, 652

Cotter. J., 1996, Accrual and Cash Flow Accounting Models: A Comparison of the Value Relevance and Timeliness of their Components, *Accounting and Finance*, Vol. 36, Issue 2, 127 - 150

Dechow, P. M., 1994, Accounting Earnings and Cash Flows as Measures of Firm Performance: the Role of Accounting Accruals, *Journal of Accounting and*

Economics, Vol. 18, Issue 1, 3-42

Francic, J. Schipper and L. Vincent, 2003, The Relative and Incremental Explanatory Power of Warnings and Alternative Performance Measure for Returns, *Contemporary Accounting Research*, Vol. 20, Issue 1, 121 - 164

Giacomino, D. E. and D. E. Mielke, 1993, Cash flows: Another Approach to Ratio Analysis, *Journal of Accountancy*, March

Habib Ahsan, 2008, The Role of Accruals and Cash Flows in Explaining Security Returns: evidence from New Zealand, *Journal of International Accounting, Auditing*

and Taxation, Vol. 17, Issue 1, 51-66

Hertenstein, J. and Makinnon, S., 1997, Solving the Puzzel of the Cash Flow Statements, *Business Horizon*, Vol. 40, Issue 1, 69 - 84

In-MU Haw, Daqing Qi and Woody Wu, 2008, The Nature of Information in Accruals and Cash Flows in an Emerging Capital Market: The Case of China, *The International Journal of Accounting*, Vol. 36, Issue 4, 391-406

Livant J., and Zarowin P., 1990, The Incremental Information Content of Cash Flow Components, *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 25-46

Mann, E., 2002, Cash Flows Earnings: New Concept in Security Analysis, *The Accounting Review,* Vol. 33, Issue 3, 423 - 426

Qian Hao, (2009), Accruals' Persistence, Accruals Mispricing and Operating Cycle: Evidence from the US, *International Journal of Accounting and Information Management*, Vol. 17, Issue 2, 198 – 207.

Net Operating Cash Flow Effect on Market Share Price for the Publicly Held Jordanian Industrial Companies

Tawfiq Abed Al-Jalil

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this paper is to examine how Amman Bourse evaluates the adequacy and the efficiency of the net operating cash flow. The study sample consists of 23 publicly held Jordanian industrial companies listed in Amman Bourse during the period from 2001 to 2010. The analysis results show a significant positive association 0.53 (the significant level is 1%) between the change in the net operating cash flow adequacy and the change in the net operating cash flow efficiency. The analysis results indicate no significant relations between the other variables.

The regression analysis shows that the investor in Amman Bourse does not depend on the net operating cash flow adequacy and efficiency when making his investing decision. The regression analysis shows no significant effect of the change in both the net operating cash flow adequacy and efficiency on the change in the market share price.

KEYWORDS: Operating Cash Flow, Cash Performance Adequacy and Efficiency, Market Share Prices.

.