

(Experimental Study)

(132)

Wilcoxon

Chi-Square

.Kruskal-Wallis

:

:

Porter,1993; Gomez-Guillamon,)

.(2008

2003

(Elder *et al*, 2010)

.2012/5/6

2010/11/2

.

.

:

(1

(Miller *et al.*,1993; Lin et al.,

(2

2003; Gomez-Guillamon, 2003; Guiral and Gonzalo, .2007; Schneidr, and Church, 2008).

(3

.

(4

.

(5

(6

()

(7

.(Porter,1993)

(8

(Libby, 1979a; Houghton, 1983; Porter,1993; Gómez-Guillamón, 2003; Guiral-Contreras *et al.*, 2007; (Schneider and Church, 2008; Rodriguez *et al*,2009)
 .(2003 2001 2001 1992

.

1992)

(2004 2001

(8

1977

(Estes and
Reimer, 1977; Libby,1979a; Libby1979b; Schultz,1979;
Firth,1979; Houghton, 1983; Gul,1987; Bamber and
Stratton, 1997; LaSalle and anandarajan,1997;Miller and
Smith, 2002; Lin *et al.*, 2003; Gomez-Guillamon, 2003;
Guiral-Contreras *et al.*, 2007; Schneider and Church,
2008; Rodregez *et al*,2008).

(Estes and Reimer, 1977)

(1

(2

(Experimental Study)

(3

(4

(5

(6

(7

(Libby, 1979a)

(Firth, 1979)

(Libby, 1979a; Libby, 1979b) ()

.

Estes and Reimer,)

Estes and Reimer, 1977;) (1977

(Libby,1979b

.

(Houghton,

1983)

.

(Libby, 1979b)

.

(Estes and (Experimental Study)

Reimer, 1977; Libby, 1979b) (Estesand Reimer, 1977)

(Houghton, 1983) (Firth, 1979)

:

-1

.

-2

.

.

-3

.

-4

.(Estes and Reimer, 1977)

- 1988
- () (SAS58)
- (Gul, 1987)
- (Miller *et al.*, 1993; Geiger, 1994)
- (Miller *et al.*, 1993)
- (Experimental Study)
- ()
- (Firth, 1979)
- (1992)
- (Geiger, 1994)
- 1988
- (Miller
- et al.*, 1993)
- (Experimental Study)

(Lin *et al.*, 2003)

(Anandarajan and Jacnike, 1995)

(Experimental Study)

:

-1

(Bamber and Stratton, 1997)

-2

(2001)

-3

(Gomez-Guillamon, 2003)

(2004)

Miller and)

.(Smith,2002

(Rodregez et

al, 2008)

(Guiral-Contreras et al., 2007)

()

)

)

(

(

.(Gomez-Guillamon, 2003)

(Schneider and Church, 2008)

(2001)

.

(Estes and Reimer, 1977; Libby, 1979a; Libby, 1979b; Firth, 1979; Houghton, 1983; Miller *et al.*,1993; Anandarajan and Jaenicke, 1995; LaSalle and Anandarajan, 1997; Gómez-Guillamón, 2003; Schneider (and Church, 2008

1992)

(2004 2001

)

(

(Experimental Study)

(Estes and Reimer, 1977; Libby,1979a; Libby1979b; Schultz,1979; Firth,1979; Houghton, 1983; Gul,1987; Bamber and Stratton, 1997; Anandarajan, 1997; Lin *et al*, 2003; Guiral-Contreras *et al*, 2007; Schneider and Church, .(2008

(Libby1979b; Firth,1979; Houghton, 1983; Gul,1987; Bamber and Stratton, 1997; Lin *et al*, 2003; Guiral-Contreras *et al*, 2007; Schneider and Church, .2008)

1977

2008

.

.

.(Brazel, et al., 2009)

()

(2004 2001 1992)

(2004 1992)

(2010)

(Rodregez *et al*,

2008)

(Elder, et al., 2010)

(Hendriksen, 1992)

(La Porta, et

.al., 2002)

(Spathis, 2003)

(Libby, 1979b)

(Ruth, 1998)

(Estes and

Reimer, 1977; Libby1979b; Houghton, 1983; Pany and
 .Johnson, 1985; Rodregez *et al*,2008)

: (Libby1979a; Firth,1979;
:H₀₁ Gul,1987; Bamber and Stratton, 1997; LaSalle and
 . anandarajan,1997; Lin *et al.*, 2003; Guiral-Contreras *et*
:H₀₂ .(*al.*, 2007; Schneider and Church, 2008

:H₀₃

:H₀₄

:H₀₅

: -1

:H₀₆

() **:H₀₇**

:H₀₈

: -2

) - (450)

- ((15)

()

:(Berenson et al.,2006)
 $((^2 \ /^2) + ((\ /))/ =$

(12-5) (8) - :

(Likert Scale) %25 :

.() :

(8-3) :

= (%95))

.(1.96

.(

(% 25) (% 95)

: (% 0.10)

$((3.8416/0.01) + (450/0.25))/ 0.25 =$

80 ≈

.) (132)

(84)

(%64)

.((80)

.(Cronbach's Alpha)

(Lin *et al.*, 2003; Guiral-Contreras *et al.*,
2007; Schneider and Church, 2008; Rodregez *et al.*,
: (2008

(%60) :

%70 %60 Alpha

%80 %70 Alpha

Sekaran,) (%80 Alpha

.(2003

(%79)

(4 - 1) :

.()

-2) :

.(

(8

. (21) ((%98.75) (1) :
 .(1.25) (%72.5) (58)
)

(1)

(%)		
1.25	1	
72.5	58	
1.25	1	
23.75	19	
1.25	1	
100	80	

(2) :
 (56) (%70)

(2)

(%)		
42.5	34	
13.8	11	
27.5	22	
16.2	13	
100	80	

(Kolmogorov-Smirnov)
 (Sig) .

(0.05)
(0.05)
(2005)
(%90)
(%99)
(0.05) (0.000)
: (3) .

(3)
Chi-Square

	Chi-Square			
0.000	39.9	0.75	4.11	-1
0.000	55.7	0.67	4.25	-2
0.000	33.7	0.62	4.51	-3
0.000	43.1	0.68	4.29	
				-4
				: (1 – 5)
0.000	46.375	0.86	4.04	-
0.000	59	0.79	4.20	-
0.000	31	0.90	4.03	-
0.000	78.375	0.92	4.33	-)
				.(
0.000	50.5	0.88	4.31	-

(3)
(3)
(4.29) (3-1)
(4.51) (0.68)

0.78	3.89	-5
0.74	3.74	-6
0.89	3.36	-7
0.82	3.94	-8
0.81	3.73	

(4.01)

(3.74)

(4)

(4.04)

(4-1)

(4.03)

(0.77)

(3.36)

(3.94)

(8-5)

(3.73)

(3.95)

(0.81)

.(3.89)

Chi-Square

(5)

(4)

(5)

Chi-Square

	Chi-Square	
0.000	37.3	-1
0.000	32.7	-2
0.000	23.3	-3
0.000	33	-4

0.000	34.5	.	-5
0.000	40.4	.	-6
0.001	16.7		-7
0.000	66.4	.	-8
0.000	35.53		

Chi- (5) Square
(0.000) (35.53)
(0.05)

.

.

.

(8-4)

(6)

.

.

(7-4)

.

(6)

(%)		
98.8	79	-
1.2	1	- ()
0	0	-
0	0	-
100	80	

(6) (79)

(%98.8)

(%1.2)

()

(7)

(%)		(%)		
5	4	98.8	79	-
15	12	1.2	1	- ()
61.2	49	0	0	-
18.8	15	0	0	-
100	80	100	80	

(7)

(%98.8)

		(%61.2)	(49)
		(%18.8)	(15)
		(12)	
			(%15)
(9)	(	)	
		(4)	
			.(%5)
(%98.8)			.
(39)	(%48.8)	(8)	Wilcoxon
			.
(17)	(	)	(8)
	(%21.2)		Wilcoxon
(%16.2)	(13)		
.(%13.8)	(11)		
		(-7.807)	Z
		(0.05)	(8)
			(0.000)

	Z
0.000	-7.807

(9)

(%)		(%)		
21.2	17	98.8	79	-
48.8	39	1.2	1	-
16.2	13	0	0	-
13.8	11	0	0	-
100	80	100	80	

(10)

Wilcoxon

	Z
0.000	-7.076

(10)

Wilcoxon

(11)

(7.076)

Z

(10)

(0.05)

(0.000)

(%98.8)

(33)

(%41.2)

(19) ()
(%23.8)
(17)
(%21.2)

.(%13.8) (11)

(11)

(%)		(%)		
21.2	17	98.8	79	-
41.2	33	1.2	1	- ()
23.8	19	0	0	-
13.8	11	0	0	-
100	80	100	80	

(7.005) z (12)
(0.05) (0.000)

(12)
Wilcoxon

	Z
0.000	-7.005

"

(12) Wilcoxon

(8)

(%10)

(7)

(%8.8)

(13)

(%98.8)

()

(49)

(14) Wilcoxon

(%61.2)

(16) ()

(%20)

(13)

(%)		(%)		
8.8	7	98.8	79	-
61.2	49	1.2	1	-
				()
20	16	0	0	-
10	8	0	0	-
100	80	100	80	

(14)

Wilcoxon

	Z
0.000	-7.688

(-7.688) z (14)
(0.05) (0.000)

() .

. ()

()

(15)
()

()	()			
(%)		(%)		
0	0	98.8	79	-
0	0	1.2	1	- ()
7.5	6	0	0	-
92.5	74	0	0	-
100	80	100	80	

(%98.8) (15)

() ()

(74)
(%92.5)
(6)
(%7.5)

()
()

(16) Wilcoxon

(16)

() Wilcoxon

	Z
0.000	-8.599

() (-8.599) Z (16)
(0.05) (0.000)

()

()

()

Kruskal-Wallis (18)

Kruskal-Wallis
(17)

(17)

4.56	()
3.34	
2.38	
2.36	
2.35	

(18)

Kruskal-Wallis

		Chi-Square
0.000	4	148.820

Chi-Square (18)
(0.000) (148.820)
(0.05)

(2001)

-2

:

-1

(Guiral-

Contreras *et al.*, 2007) (1992)

(Lin *et al.*, 2003)

-3

-6

(Anandarajan and Jacnike, 1995)

-7

()

()

-4

()

()

Schneider and Church,)

(2008

-5

-8

· ()

·
:-1

·

·

·

-2

:

·

-1

·

-2

·

-3

·

-3

·

-4

·

-5

·

-4

·

-6

·

-5

·

-7

2 :
2008
2005 " "
(1) SPSS
2001
700
.42-25 (3)4
2001 2004
.406-395 (2)28
1992
()
2003
(1)30
.127-108
2010

Alderman, C.W. 1979. An Impirical Analysis of the Impact of Uncertainty Qualifications on the Market Risk Components, *Accounting and Business Research*, No.Autumn, 258-66.

Ameen, E.C., Chan, K., Guffey, D.M. 1994. Information Content of Qualified Audit Opinions for Over-the-Counter Firms, *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting*, No.October, 997-1011.

Anandarajan, A. and Jaenicke, H.R. 1995. How Auditors' Reporting Choices Influence Loan Officers' Decisions, *The Journal of Commercial Lending*, May, 55-9.

Eleder, R. J., Beasley, M. S. and Arens, A. A. 2010. Auditing and Assurance Services, New York: Prentice-Hall.

Ball, R., Walker, R.G., Whittred, G.P. 1979. Audit Qualifications and Share Prices, *Abacus*, No.June, 23-34.

Bamber, E.M. and Stratton, R.A. 1997. The Information Content of the Uncertainty Modified Audit Report: Evidence from Bank Loan Officers, *Accounting Horizons*, 11(2): 1-11.

Banks, D.W., Kinney, W.R. 1982. Loss Contingency Reports and Stock Prices: an Empirical Study, *Journal of Accounting Research*, 20(Spring): 240-54.

Baskin, E.F. 1972. The Communicative Effectiveness of Consistency Exceptions, *The Accounting Review*, No.January, 38-51.

Berenson, L. M., Krehbiel, T. C. and Levine, D. M. 2006. Basic Business Statistics, 10th Edition, New York: Prentice-Hall.

Brazel, J. F., K. L. Jones, and M. F. Zimbelman. 2009. Using nonfinancial measures to assess fraud risk, *Journal of*

- Accounting Research 47 (5): 1135–66.
- Cabal García, E. 2000. La Efectividad Comunicativa del Informe de Auditoría Medida a Través de su Impacto en el Mercado Bursátil, *Estudios Financieros*, (209-210): 123-78.
- Chen, K.C., Church, B.K. 1996. Going Concern Opinions and the Market's Reaction to Bankruptcy Filings, *The Accounting Review*, 71(1): 117-28.
- Chow, C. 1982. The Demand for External Auditing: Size, Debt and Ownership Influences, *The accounting review*, 57 (2): 272-291.
- Chow, C.W., Rice, S.J. 1982. Qualified Audit Opinions and Share Prices- an Investigation, *Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory*, 1(2): 35-53.
- Craswell, A.T. 1985. Studies of the Information Content of Qualified Audit Report, *Journal of Business, Finance and Accounting*, 12 (1): 93-115.
- Dodd, P. 1984. Qualified Audit Opinions and Stock Prices, *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 6: 3-38.
- Dopuch, N., Holthausen, R.W., Leftwich, R.W. 1987. Predicting Audit Qualifications with Financial and Market Variables, *The Accounting Review*, 62(3): 431-54.
- Dubois, C.P.R. 1995. The Information Audit: its Contribution to Decision Making, *Journal of Library Management*, 16(7): 20-24.
- Elliott, J.A. 1982. Subject to Audit Opinions and Abnormal Security Returns - Outcomes and Ambiguities, *Journal of Accounting Research*, 20(2): 617-38.
- Estes, R., Reimer, M. (1977), "A Study of the Effect of Qualified Auditors' Opinions on Bankers' Lending Decisions", *Accounting and Business Research*, No. Autumn, pp.250-259.
- Fields, L.P., Wilkins, M.S. (1991), "The Information Content of Withdrawn Audit Qualifications: New Evidence on the Value of 'Subject to' Opinions", *Auditing a Journal of Practice & Theory*, Vol. 10 No.2, pp.62-69.
- Firth, M. (1979), "Qualified Audit Reports and Bank Lending Decisions", *Journal of Bank Research*, No. Winter, pp.231-41.
- Geiger, Marshall A. (1994), "The New Auditor's Report", *Journal of Accountancy*, November, pp. 59-64.
- Gómez-Guillamón, A.(2003), "The Usefulness of the Audit Report in Investment and Financing Decisions", *Managerial Auditing Journal*, Vol.18 No. 6/7, pp. 549-559
- Guiral-Contreras, A., and Gonzalo-Angulo, J. A. and Rodgers, W., (2007), "Information Content and Recency Effect of the Audit Report in Loan Rating Decisions", *Accounting and Finance*, Vol.47, pp.285-304.
- Gul, F.A. (1987), "The Effects of Uncertainty Reporting on Lending Officers' Perceptions of Risk and Additional Information Required", *Abacus*, Vol. 23 No.2, pp.172-181.
- Hendriksen, E. S., Wood, H. and D. Richard, (1992), "Accounting Theory", 4th edition, Inwin, USA.
- Houghton, K.A. (1983), "Audit Reports: their Impact on the Loan Decision Process and Outcome: an Experiment", *Accounting and Business Research*, Vol.14 No.53, pp.15-20.
- Jones, F.L. (1996), "The Information Content of the Auditor's Going Concern Evaluation", *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, Vol. 15 pp.1-27.
- LaSalle, R.E. and Anandarajan, A. (1997), "Bank Loan Officers' Reactions to Audit Reports Issued to Entities with Litigation and Going Concern Uncertainties", *Accounting Horizons*, Vol. 11 No.2, pp.33-40.
- Libby, R. (1979a),"Bankers' and Auditors' Perceptions of the Message Communicated by the Audit Report", *Journal of Accounting Research*, Vol. 17 spring, pp.99-122.
- Libby, R. (1979b), "The Impact of Uncertainty Reporting on the Loan Decision", *Journal of Accounting Research*, supplement, Vol. 17, pp.35-57.
- Lin, Z. J., Tang, Q. and Xiao, Jason, (2003), "An Experimental Study of Users' Responses to Qualified Audit Reports in China", *Journal of International Accounting, Auditing & Taxation*, Vol.12, pp. 1–22.
- Loudder, M.L. (1992), "The Information Content of Audit Qualifications", *Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory*, Vol. 11 No.1, pp.69-82.
- Mckinley, S., Pany, K., and Reckers, P. M.J. (1985), " An

- Examination of the Influence of CPA Firm Type, Size, and MAS Provision on Loan Officer Decisions and Perceptions", *Journal of Accounting Research*, supplement, Vol. 17, pp.64-71.
- Miller, J. R., Reed, S. A., and Strawser, R. H. (1993) "Bank Loan Officers' Perceptions of the New Audit Report", *Accounting Horizons*, Vol. 7 No. 1, pp. 39-52.
- Miller, J. R., and Smith, L. M., (2002), "The Effects of the Level of Assurance, Accounting Firm, Capital Structure, and Bank Size on Bank Lending Decisions", *Journal of Accounting, Auditing & Finance*, 17(1), pp.51-71.
- Ng, D., 1978 "An Information Economics Analysis of Financial Reporting and External Auditing", *The accounting review*, 53 (4), 910-920.
- Porter, B. (1993), "An Empirical Study of the Audit Expectation-Performance Gap", *Accounting and Business Research*, Vol. 24 Winter, pp.49-68.
- Rodregez, M. C., Alegria, S. S. and Torres, P. A. (2008), "Do Banks Value Audit Reports or Auditor Reputation? Evidence from Private Spanish Firms", working paper, Universidad Carlos III de Madrid, Spain.
- Ruth, E. George,(1995), " Commercial Bank Management", 3rd Edition, USA: Americans Bankers Association.
- Schneider, A. and Church, B. K. (2008), "The Effect of Auditors' Internal Control Opinions on Loan Decisions", *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, Vol. 27, pp. 1-18.
- Schultz, J. JR. (1979), "Discussion of The Impact of Uncertainty Reporting on the Loan Decision ", *Journal of Accounting Research*, Vol. 17(2), pp.64-71.
- Wilkerson, J.E. (1987), "Selecting Experimental and Comparison Samples for Use in Studies of Auditor Reporting Decisions", *Journal of Accounting Research*, Vol. 25 No.Spring, pp.161-7.
- William, B. (1982), "An Appraisal of Research Design Used to Investigate the Information Content of Audit Reports", *The Accounting Review*, LVII (1), pp.141-146.
- La Porta, R., Lopdez-de-Silanes, F., Shleifer, A and Vishney, R. (2002), 'Investor Protection and Corporate Valuation', *Journal of Finance*, 57(3), June, p. 1147-1170.
- Spathis, Ch. (2003), "Audit qualification, firm litigation and financial information: an empirical analysis in Greece", *International Journal of Auditing*, Vol. 7 No. 1, pp. 71-85.

The Effect of External Auditor's Report on the Credit Decision for Jordanian Commercial Banks

Rami Al-Zebdieh and Ali Al-Thuneibat

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to examine the effect of external auditor's report on credit decision in Jordanian commercial banks to identify if the type of audit report affects credit decision. To achieve the objective of study, a questionnaire was distributed to credit officers in Jordanian commercial banks, and a virtual financial data attached with the different types of auditor's reports. "Chi-square" test, "Wilcoxon" test, and "Kruskal-Wallis" test were used to analyze the data of the study. The results of the study showed that auditor's report is considered as one of the important sources for credit officers in making their credit decision. The study also showed that qualified auditor's report, compared to clean reports, influences the credit officer's decision. Finally the study concludes that there are significant statistical differences between the various types of qualified auditor's reports in affecting the credit decision. Based on the conclusions of the study, the researchers recommend that the banks should increase their reliance on the various types of audit reports in making credit decisions, and pay more attention to disclosure and accounting policies qualifications. The researchers also recommend that the Jordan Association of the Certified Public Accountants should encourage the auditors to be very careful when expressing their opinions about the financial statements in order to avoid misleading decision makers.

Keywords: External Auditor, Auditor's Report, Credit Decision, Commercial Banks.